

BIOLOGICAL EVALUATIONS OF PROTOPORPHYRIN IX, PHEOPHORBIDE a, AND ITS 1- HYDROXYETHYL DERIVATIVES FOR APPLICATION IN PHOTODYNAMIC THERAPY

By asmiyenti djaliasrin djalil

BIOLOGICAL EVALUATIONS OF PROTOPORPHYRIN IX, PHEOPHORBIDE *a*, AND ITS 1-HYDROXYETHYL DERIVATIVES FOR APPLICATION IN PHOTODYNAMIC THERAPY

ASMIYENTI DJALIASRIN DJALIL^{ab*}, NUNUK ARIES NURULITA^b, LEENA WATY LIMANTARA^c, SLAMET IBRAHIM^a,
DARYONO HADI TJAHJONO^a

^aSchool of Pharmacy, Bandung Institute of Technology, Jalan Ganesha 10 Bandung 40132, Indonesia, ^bUniversitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Jl. Raya Dukuwaluh PO. Box 202 Purwokerto 53182, Indonesia, ^cMa Chung Research Center for Photosynthetic Pigments, Universitas Ma Chung, Villa Puncak Tidar N-01 Malang 65151, Indonesia. Email: asmiyenti@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

Protoporphyrin IX (**1**), pheophorbide *a* (**3**), and its 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives (**2,4**) were studied *in vitro* as photosensitizer candidates for photodynamic therapy. Protoporphyrin IX has been indicated to have a cytotoxic effect in the absence of light excitation. The dark toxicity of **1-4** was evaluated against normal cells (Vero), human epithelial cervix carcinomas (HeLa) and human breast cancer (T47D) cell lines, while the phototoxicity of **1-4** was evaluated against HeLa and T47D cell lines. Moreover, the MTT assay was employed to evaluate cell viability. The 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives showed a lower dark toxicity in the three types of cells compared to the parent molecules. It was also observed that the parent molecules were more phototoxic than those of its 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives.

Keywords: Protoporphyrin IX, Pheophorbide *a*, 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives, Photodynamic therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Photodynamic therapy (PDT) can be defined as the administration of a non-toxic drug or dye known as a photosensitizer (PS) either systemically, locally, or topically to a patient bearing a lesion (frequently but not always cancer). This is followed by the illumination of the lesion with visible light, usually a long wavelength red light, which leads to the generation of cytotoxic species in the presence of oxygen and consequently to cell death and tissue destruction. The ideal PS should exhibit a low level of dark phototoxicity and systemic toxicity, a good tumor selectivity and should simultaneously avoid accumulation in the surrounding healthy tissues and be rapidly eliminated from an organism to prevent prolonged photosensitivity. In other cases, there is also an increase in effort to discover new anti-cancer with no-cytotoxicity to the normal cells.

Hematoporphyrin derivatives (HPD) was the first PS identified, and reports of selective localization of porphyrins in tumors appeared until the 1960s. However, there is always the possibility of PS uptake by normal cells which can cause collateral damage in dark conditions. Therefore, PS should exhibit high phototoxicity with no dark toxicity.

Some PS can easily be prepared by partial synthesis using abundant natural starting materials, such as heme or chlorophyll. This route leads to both economical and environmental advantages compared to complicated total chemical synthesis. Protoporphyrin IX (1) and pheophorbide *a* (2) are chemical derivatives obtained from naturally occurring porphyrins and chlorins, and both compounds have been studied as PS for PDT.

The anti-tumor effect of a protoporphyrin IX-based PDT has been successfully demonstrated on a wide range of human malignant cell lines. However, Chu *et al.* indicated the cytotoxic effect of 5-aminolevulinic acid (ALA) treatment on lymphocytes without light excitation. Lymphocytes are blood cells that circulate around the whole body, meaning that they have a greater chance than other non-blood cells to encounter drug molecules that are delivered to the tumor. Furthermore, Koningsberger *et al.* showed that protoporphyrin IX at a concentration of 0.5–100 µg/ml inhibited cellular proliferation in hepatocellular carcinoma cell lines under dark conditions.

Pheophorbide *a*, a chlorin compound, has been shown previously to be a good sensitizer which displays more intense absorption than porphyrin in the red region: pheophorbide *a* exhibits a λ_{max} of 614 nm versus 635 nm for ALA-induced protoporphyrin IX. Previous studies have demonstrated the therapeutic potential of

pheophorbide *a*-based PDT on leukemia, colon cancer, hepatoma, and uterine carcinosarcoma. Unfortunately, Hajri *et al.* have found that liposomal pheophorbide *a* at a dose of 30 mg/kg led to much higher pheophorbide *a* levels in colon and gut than in HT29 tumor.

A previous study by this group predicted that the 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives of protoporphyrin IX or pheophorbide *a* showed a lower toxic potency than those of the parent compounds. The 1-hydroxyethyl substituent increases the hydrophilicity of the compounds, which is an advantage when the drug is administered systemically, therefore it could impair uptake by cellular membranes, and consequently reduce toxicity. Furthermore, the 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives of protoporphyrin IX or pheophorbide *a* are found to generate oxygen more efficiently than those of the parent compounds when irradiated with visible light (data not shown). The 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives of protoporphyrin IX was synthesized using an addition reaction with hydrobromide, followed by nucleophilic substitution with H₂O.

In this work, the potential of protoporphyrin IX, pheophorbide *a* and the 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives for PDT of human epithelial cervix carcinoma (HeLa) and human breast cancer (T47D) cell lines are studied. Analysis of the dark toxicity was evaluated against normal cells in addition to the cancer cells. Moreover, MTT assay was used to determine the inhibitory effects of test compounds on cell growth *in vitro*.




Fig. 1: Chemical structure of protoporphyrin IX (1), pheophorbide *a* (3) and its 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives (2,4)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals

The 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives of protoporphyrin IX and pheophorbide *a* were synthesized at the School of Pharmacy, Bandung Institute of Technology (Bandung, Indonesia). Pheophorbide *a* was isolated and synthesized from *Spirulina platensis*. Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM), M199, fetal bovine serum (FBS), fungizone 0.5%, and penicillin-streptomycin were purchased from Gibco (Invitrogen, USA). Trypsin-EDTA 0.025% was obtained from Gibco (Invitrogen, Canada). Protoporphyrin IX, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT), dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) and all other chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

Cell culture

HeLa human epithelial cervix carcinoma and T47D human breast cancer cells lines were maintained in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 0.5% fungizone. Vero normal cells were maintained in M199 medium supplemented as above. The cells were incubated at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂, and were sub-cultured every 3-4 days using 0.025% Trypsin-EDTA solution.

Dark toxicity

HeLa, T47D and Vero cells were seeded into 96-well plates (100µL/well) at densities of 10000cells/well and incubated for 24 hrs. Afterwards, cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and 100µL of medium containing PS at a given concentration and 0.5% DMSO was added to each well, with the exception of control wells. The cells were incubated for 24 hrs, and then washed with PBS. Cell viability was determined using the MTT assay.

Light-dependent toxicity

HeLa and T47D cells were seeded into 96-well plates (100µL/well) at densities of 10000cells/well, and were incubated 24 hrs. Afterwards, cells were washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), and 100µL of medium without FBS, containing PS at a given concentration, and 0.5% DMSO, was added to each well, with the exception of control wells. Subsequently, the cells were exposed to light (300-850nm, maximum 610nm, 5mW/cm²) from three mercury lamps (Philips 160 watt, The Netherlands) for 15 min. Directly after light exposure, the cells were incubated for 24 hrs. Cell viability was determined using the MTT assay.

MTT assay

After being incubated for 24 hours, the medium was discarded and replaced with MTT-containing medium (0.5mg/mL) and incubated for 4 hrs at 37°C, with 5% CO₂. The reaction was stopped with 10% SDS in 0.1M HCl solution and was incubated overnight in a light protected chamber to dissolve the formazan. The absorbance was measured with an ELISA reader at 595nm. Cell viability was expressed as the percentage of viable treated cells relative to untreated control cells.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The compounds 1-4 were used to test for *in vitro* photosensitizing activity on HeLa human epithelial cervix carcinoma and T47D human breast cancer cells lines. The dark toxicities of the compounds on HeLa, T47D and Vero cell lines were analyzed at the same time. Cell cultures with photosensitizers were irradiated under similar conditions. The dark toxicities were studied to estimate the long-term side effects of these drugs.




Fig. 2: Dark toxicity of 1-4 in normal cell (Vero). Error bars represent standard deviations (SD).




Fig. 3: Phase contrast images of Vero normal cells after incubation with 7.5µM of compounds 1-4 for 24 hours in dark condition

The dark toxicities of **1-4** in Vero cells are shown in Fig. 2. Protoporphyrin IX (**1**) and Pheophorbide *a* (**3**) had cytotoxic effects at a given concentration. Pheophorbide *a* exhibited the highest toxicity compared with that of all compounds studied. Protoporphyrin survival was $72.2\% \pm 4.1$ for Vero cells, while Pheophorbide *a* was $42.7 \pm 6.7\%$ at a concentration $10\mu\text{M}$, and did not decrease further in the concentrations range from 20 to $50\mu\text{M}$. Protoporphyrin IX tends to aggregate in aqueous solutions comparable with that of its 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives. This may play a role in moderating the level of cytotoxicity. Serious cytotoxicity and remarkable DNA damage was found in lymphocytes after ALA-induced protoporphyrin IX incubation as well as without light irradiation. The chromosomal aberrations and the induction of micronuclei were reported after ALA exposure to hepatocytes in the absence of light. Furthermore, the

degradation of cellular DNA was found after exposure of the isolated DNA to ALA.

The 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives of **1** and **3** had lower cytotoxic effects for Vero cells compared to those of the parent compounds. The cell survival was 95-100%. As previously seen, the 1-hydroxyethyl substituent increases the hydrophilicity of the compounds, which is an advantage when the drug is administered systemically, and could impair uptake by a cellular membrane, reducing toxicity as a result.

Similar results were obtained with HeLa cell lines. The 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives of **1** and **3** had lower cytotoxic effects when compared with those of the parent compounds (Fig. 4). For compound **2**, no cytotoxicity was seen at concentrations up to $50\mu\text{M}$. The previous study showed that compound **1** at concentrations from $0.8\mu\text{g/ml}$ to $20\mu\text{g/ml}$ was found to be cytotoxic to HeLa cells.




Fig. 4: Dark toxicity of 1-4 in HeLa cell lines. Error bars represent standard deviations (SD).

In the case of T47D cells, compound **2** is essentially non-cytotoxic at concentrations up to $50\mu\text{M}$ in the absence of light, but exhibits a high photocytotoxicity. In contrast, compounds **2** and **4** showed non-cytotoxic effects at concentrations up to $50\mu\text{M}$ and $30\mu\text{M}$, respectively, compared to HeLa cells maintained in the dark under similar conditions. Studies published so far suggest that the mode of cell death induced by PDT is dependent on the sensitizer, the cell line used and the cell density. In this study, different dark cytotoxicities were observed in different cell lines. This result indicates that the bystander effect may play a role.

compound **4**, the cell survival decreases to 6% at $20\mu\text{M}$, while for compound **2** the cell survival decreases to 11% at $40\mu\text{M}$ in HeLa cell lines. The results for T47D cells were almost the same.

The phototoxicity of 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives was less than that observed for the parent compound. It is worth noting that although the 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives exhibit higher singlet oxygen quantum yields than their parent compounds in aqueous solutions (data not shown), the photocytotoxicity is lower than for the parent compounds. This is an indication that incubation time before exposure to light was short. The results are reflected by a decrease in cellular uptake of hydrophilic compounds **2** and **4**. As outlined by Kwitniewski *et al.*, photocytotoxicity was dependent on both the incubation time and light dose. Moreover, a sharp and intense Q band absorption spectra of PS in culture media should lead to a higher photosensitizing efficiency. Liu *et al.* reported the higher photocytotoxicity of the phthalocyanine compound, although the PS exhibits a lower singlet oxygen level than the other two analogues in DMF.

Analysis of light-induced toxicity of compounds **1-4** in HeLa and T47D cells is shown in Fig. 5 and Fig. 6, respectively. The cells were treated with compounds **1-4** ($11-50\mu\text{M}$) and directly exposed to light. The corresponding LD_{50} values are summarized in Table 1, which shows that all of these compounds are highly potent. The cytotoxicity of photosensitizers **1-4** in the presence of irradiation is also stronger than that of those maintained in dark conditions. For




Fig. 5: Dark toxicity of 1-4 in T47D cell lines. Error bars represent standard deviations (SD).

Table 1: Photocytotoxicities of compounds 1-4 against HeLa and T47D cell lines

| Compound | LC ₅₀ (μM) ^a | |
|----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | HeLa | T47D |
| 1 | 7.0±0.04714 | 5.1±0.35 |
| 2 | 26.3±0.6 | 24.2±0.29 |
| 3 | <5μM | <5μM |
| 4 | 13.7±0.2 | 15.2±0.45 |

^aDrug concentration that causes a 50% reduction in cell viability relative to untreated cells in the MTT assay. Values were obtained directly from cell-killing curves. Data are the mean from three independent experiments ± SD




Fig. 6: Photocytotoxic profiles for HeLa cells exposed to 1-4 at different concentrations after photo-irradiation. For details, see the Expert. Part





Fig. 7: Photocytotoxic profiles for T47D cells exposed to 1-4 at different concentrations after photo-irradiation. For details, see Expert. Part






Fig. 8: Phase contrast images of (A) HeLa human epithelial cervix carcinoma and (B) T47D human breast cancer cells lines after being added with compound 1-4 at concentration 10 μ M, followed by light exposure.

The results of this study show that pheophorbide *a* was the most effective drug in both cell lines, but its dark toxicity was the highest in Vero, HeLa and T47D cell lines. The 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives of pheophorbide *a* 4, showed lower cytotoxicity and higher effectiveness in both cell lines when compared with the 23 other investigated compounds. The chlorin compound 4, has substantially greater 17 action coefficient in the red spectrum than porphyrins 1 or 2, which is also an advantage that can increase the light penetration depths.

CONCLUSIONS

Protoporphyrin IX, pheophorbide *a*, and its 1-hydroxyethyl derivatives have been shown to be highly potent as PS for PDT. Replacing the vinyl group of 1,3 with 1-hydroxyethyl group can reduce its dark toxicity.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by KK ITB Research Grant 2011.

REFERENCES

1. Castano AP, Demidova TN, Hamblin MR. Mechanism in photodynamic therapy: part one-photosensitizers, photochemistry and cellular localization. *Photodiag Photodynam Ther* 2004; 1:279-293.
2. Patel PR, Nagar AA, Patel RC, Rathod DK, Patel VR. In vitro anticancer activity of *Rubia cordifolia* against HeLa and HEP-2 cell lines. *Int J Pharm Pharm Sci* 2011; 3 Suppl 2:70-71.
3. Allison RR, Downie GH, Cuenca R, Hu XH, Childs CJH, Sibata CH. Photosensitizers in clinical PDT. *Photodiag Photodynam Ther* 2004; 1:27-42.
4. Nyman ES, Hynninen PH. Research advances in the use of tetrapyrrolic photosensitizers for photodynamic therapy. *J Photochem Photobiol B* 2004; 73:1-28.
5. Inoue K, Karashima T, Kamada M, Shuin T, Kurabayashi A, Furihata M, Fujita H, Utsumi K, Sasaki J. Regulation of 5-aminolevulinic acid-mediated protoporphyrin IX accumulation in human urothelial carcinomas. *Pathobiology* 2009; 76:303-314.
6. Uzdensky AB, Juzeniene A, Kolpakova E, Hjortland GO, Juzenas P, Moan J. Photosensitization with protoporphyrin IX inhibits attachment of cancer cells to a substratum. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2004; 322:452-457.
7. Wachowska M, Muchowicz A, Firczuk M, Gabrysiak M, Winiarska M, Wańczyk M, Bojarczuk K, Golab J. Aminolevulinic acid (ALA) as a prodrug in photodynamic therapy of cancer. *Molecules* 2011; 16:4140-4164.
8. Betz CS, Xiang JP, Janda P, Heinrich P, Stepp H, Baumgartner R, Leunig A. In vitro photodynamic therapy of nasopharyngeal carcinoma using 5-aminolevulinic acid. *Photochem Photobiol* 2002; 1:315-319.
9. Chu ESM, Wu RWK, Yow CMN, Wong TKS, Chen JY. The cytotoxic and genotoxic potential of 5-aminolevulinic acid on lymphocytes: a comet assay study. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2006; 58:408-414.
10. Koningsberger JC, Rademakers LHPM, van Hattum J, Baart de la Faille H, Wiegman LJJM, Italiaander E, van Berge Henegouwen GP, Marx JJM. Exogenous protoporphyrin inhibits Hep G2 cell proliferation, increases the intracellular hydrogen peroxide concentration and causes ultrastructural alterations. *J Hepatol* 1995; 22:57-65.
11. Li WT, Tsao HW, Chen YY, Cheng SW, Hsu YC. A study on the photodynamic properties of chlorophyll derivatives using human hepatocellular carcinoma cells. *Photochem Photobiol Sci* 2007; 6:1341-1348.
12. Detty MR, Gibson SL, Wagner SJ. Current clinical and preclinical photosensitizers for use in photodynamic therapy. *J Med Chem* 2004; 47:3897-3915.
13. Lee WY, Lim DS, Ko SH, Park YJ, Ryu KS, Ahn MY, Kim YR, Lee DW, Cho CW. Photoactivation of pheophorbide *a* induces a mitochondrial-mediated apoptosis in Jurkat leukaemia cells. *J Photochem Photobiol B* 2004; 75:119-126.
14. Hajri A, Wack S, Meyer C, Smith MK, Leberquier C, Keding M, Aprahamian M. In vitro and in vivo efficacy of photofrin and pheophorbide *a*, a bacteriochlorin, in photodynamic therapy of colonic cancer cells. *Photochem Photobiol* 2002; 75:140-148.
15. Tang PMK, Liu XZ, Zhang DM, Fong WP, Fung KP. Pheophorbide *a* based photodynamic therapy induces apoptosis via mitochondrial-mediated pathway in human uterine carcinosarcoma. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2009; 8:533-539.
16. Tang PMK, Xuan NHB, Wong CK, Fong WP, Fung KP. Pheophorbide *a*-mediated photodynamic therapy triggers HLA class I-restricted antigen presentation in human hepatocellular carcinoma. *Transl Oncol* 2010; 3:114-122.
17. Mwakwari SC. Syntheses and properties of isoporphyrins and related derivatives for application in photodynamic therapy [Dissertation]. Louisiana (United States): Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College; 2007.
18. Ranjit PM, Krishna PM, Silpa P, Nagalakshmi V, Anjali M, Girish K, Chowdary YA. In vitro cytotoxic activities of *Calotropis procera* latex and flower extracts against MCF-7 and HeLa cell line cultures. *Int J Pharm Pharm Sci* 2012; 4:66-70.
19. Fiedler DM, Eckl PM, Krammer B. Does δ -aminolevulinic acid induce genotoxic effects? *J Photochem Photobiol B* 1996; 33:39-44.
20. Douki T, Onuki J, Medeiros MHG, Bechara EJH, Cadet J, Mascio PD. Hydroxyl radicals are involved in the oxidation of isolated and cellular DNA bases by 5-aminolevulinic acid. *FEBS Lett* 1998; 428:93-96.
21. Bednarz N, Pankau JZ, Kowalska A. Protoporphyrin IX induces apoptosis in HeLa cells prior to photodynamic treatment. *Pharmacol Rep* 2007; 59:474-479.
22. Dahle J, Bagdonas S, Kaalhus O, Olsen G, Steen HB, Moan J. The bystander effect in photodynamic inactivation of cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2000; 1475: 273-280.

23. Kwitniewski M, Juzeniene A, Ma LW, Glosnicka R, Graczyk A, Moan J. Diamino acid derivatives of PpIX as potential photosensitizers for photodynamic therapy of squamous cell carcinoma and prostate cancer: in vitro studies. *J Photochem Photobiol B* 2009; 94:214-222.
24. Liu JY, Jiang XJ, Fong WP, Ng DKP. Synthesis, characterization, and in vitro photodynamic activity of novel amphiphilic zinc(II) phthalocyanines bearing oxyethylene-rich substituents. *Met Based Drugs* 2008; 2008:1-8.
25. Kessel D. Tumor localization and photosensitization by a chlorin-porphyrin ester. *Cancer Res* 1986; 46:2248-2251.
26. Djalil AD, Kartasmita RE, Ibrahim S, Tjahjono DH. Toxicity prediction of photosensitizers bearing carboxylic acid groups by ECOSAR and Toxtree. *J Pharmacol Toxicol* 2012; 5:219-230.

BIOLOGICAL EVALUATIONS OF PROTOPORPHYRIN IX, PHEOPHORBIDE a, AND ITS 1 HYDROXYETHYL DERIVATIVES FOR APPLICATION IN PHOTODYNAMIC THERAPY

ORIGINALITY REPORT

19%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

- 1** Chin-Fei Chee. "Photocytotoxic Pheophorbide-Related Compounds from *Aglaonema simplex*", *Chemistry & Biodiversity*, 12/2005 62 words — 3%
Crossref
- 2** Nunuk Aries Nurulita, Edy Meiyanto, Sugiyanto, Eishou Matsuda, Masashi Kawaichi. "Gynura procumbens modulates the microtubules integrity and enhances distinct mechanism on doxorubicin and 5-fluorouracil-induced breast cancer cell death", *Oriental Pharmacy and Experimental Medicine*, 2012 51 words — 2%
Crossref
- 3** Michael R. Hamblin, Tayyaba Hasan. "Advances in Photodynamic Theory", *Optics and Photonics News*, 1996 44 words — 2%
Crossref
- 4** Michael R. Hamblin. "chapter 35 Photodynamic Therapy", IGI Global, 2009 37 words — 2%
Crossref
- 5** journals.plos.org 22 words — 1%
Internet
- 6** lib.bioinfo.pl 21 words — 1%
Internet
- 7** depotuw.ceon.pl 20 words — 1%
Internet

| | | |
|----|---|---------------|
| 8 | J Dahle. "The bystander effect in photodynamic inactivation of cells", <i>Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - General Subjects</i> , 2000 Crossref | 19 words — 1% |
| 9 | www.besjournal.com Internet | 18 words — 1% |
| 10 | www.sciencepub.org Internet | 16 words — 1% |
| 11 | Lo, P.C.. "Photodynamic effects of a novel series of silicon(IV) phthalocyanines against human colon adenocarcinoma cells", <i>Photodiagnosis and Photodynamic Therapy</i> , 200706 Crossref | 14 words — 1% |
| 12 | ir.uiowa.edu Internet | 14 words — 1% |
| 13 | www.tandfonline.com Internet | 14 words — 1% |
| 14 | Bui-Xuan, N.H.. "Photo-activated pheophorbide-a, an active component of <i>Scutellaria barbata</i> , enhances apoptosis via the suppression of ERK-mediated autophagy in the estrogen receptor-negative human breast adenocarcinoma cells MDA-MB-231", <i>Journal of Ethnopharmacology</i> , 20100819 Crossref | 14 words — 1% |
| 15 | Jian-Yong Liu, Xiong-Jie Jiang, Wing-Ping Fong, Dennis K. P. Ng. "Synthesis, Characterization, and In Vitro Photodynamic Activity of Novel Amphiphilic Zinc(II) Phthalocyanines Bearing Oxyethylene-Rich Substituents", <i>Metal-Based Drugs</i> , 2008 Crossref | 13 words — 1% |
| 16 | www.ijcrls.com Internet | 13 words — 1% |

| | | |
|----|---|-----------------|
| 17 | Internet | 11 words — < 1% |
| 18 | Lo, P.C.. "Synthesis and in vitro photodynamic activity of mono-substituted amphiphilic zinc(II) phthalocyanines", <i>Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters</i> , 20070215 Crossref | 10 words — < 1% |
| 19 | bioone.org Internet | 9 words — < 1% |
| 20 | Uzdensky, A.. "The effect of sub-lethal ALA-PDT on the cytoskeleton and adhesion of cultured human cancer cells", <i>BBA - General Subjects</i> , 20050211 Crossref | 9 words — < 1% |
| 21 | tel.archives-ouvertes.fr Internet | 9 words — < 1% |
| 22 | photobiology.info Internet | 9 words — < 1% |
| 23 | DAVID KESSEL. "PHOTOSENSITIZATION WITH DERIVATIVES OF CHLOROPHYLL", <i>Photochemistry and Photobiology</i> , 2/1989 Crossref | 8 words — < 1% |
| 24 | Hasrat Ali, Johan E. van Lier. "Metal Complexes as Photo- and Radiosensitizers", <i>Chemical Reviews</i> , 1999 Crossref | 7 words — < 1% |

EXCLUDE QUOTES OFF
EXCLUDE BIBLIOGRAPHY ON

EXCLUDE MATCHES OFF