

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Gabriel Akyirem Akowuah <agabriel@ucsiuniversity.edu.my>

Wed, Jun 17,
2020, 9:43 AM

to wiranti srirahayu<wirantisrirahayu@gmail.com>

Dear ALL,

The IRCPAS 2020 Full Manuscripts will be sent to the journal "Current Trends in Biotechnology and Pharmacy" for peer review and publication.

I indicate herewith the website of Current Trends in Biotechnology and Pharmacy. <http://www.abap.co.in/>

Visit the site and strictly follow the author instructions to edit your manuscript.

Download one latest article of the journal to **redit** your work.

Email your manuscript to me by 22nd June. The manuscript received will be sent to reviewers on 24th June 2020.

Take note of the following:

1. Manuscript received after 22nd June will be sent back to the author.
2. Manuscript which did not follow the author's instruction of the journal will be return back to the Author.

Rgds
Gabriel

Mon, Jun 22,
2020, 5:44 AM

wiranti srirahayu <wirantisrirahayu@gmail.com>

to Gabriel <agabriel@ucsiuniversity.edu.my>

Dear Assoc. Prof. Dr. Gabriel Akyirem Akowuah

I have already prepare my manuscript by following the guidelines.I will send my manuscript along with copyright submission, declaration form and plagiarism checker

Thank you,

Sincerel

Wiranti Sri Rahayu



4 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail

Jun 22, 2020,
8:30 AM

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Gabriel Akyirem Akowuah <agabriel@ucsiuniversity.edu.my>

to me

Received with thanks

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier Transform Infra Red and Gas Chromatography Massa Spectrometry for Halal Authentication

Wiranti Sri Rahayu^{1*}, Pri Iswati Utami¹, Irfan Nugraha¹, Rati Janah¹

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Jl. Raya KH Ahmad Dahlan, PO. Box 202 Purwokerto 53182, Central Java, Indonesia.

*Corresponding Author : wirantisriahayu@ump.ac.id or wirantisriahayu@gmail.com

Abstract

Fourier Transform Infra Red (FTIR) and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrophotometry (GCMS) method were developed to determine rat fat which is extracted from rat meat. Fat was extracted with methanol and chloroform as a solvent. Derivatization process was conducted to convert fat into a methyl ester form using sodium methoxide and boron trifluoride. GCMS analysis was used to identify the fatty acid composition from rat fat. The FTIR spectral bands correlated with bovine, pork, chicken and rat fat were scanned, interpreted, and identified. Qualitative differences between FTIR spectra were proposed as a basis tools for differentiating between rat fat and other fat. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) at combining wavenumber regions of 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1} was capable of distinguishing rat meat from other meat. GCMS chromatogram showed the fatty acid composition in rat meat which five major compounds were hexadecenoic acid, 9,12-octadecadienoic acid, 9-hexadecenoic acid, tetradecanoic acid and 7-hexadecanoic acid. PCA based on fatty acid composition can distinguish rat meat from other meat.

Keywords: Rat meat, FTIR, GCMS

Introduction

A muslim is majority population in Indonesia, whose must consume halal food products. Thus, it is important to ensure the halals of food products. Adulteration non halal meat in food product become increase and some food products are found to have been adulterated with non halal ingredient, such as rat meat in meatball (1,2).

Meatballs are a popular food in Indonesia where the main component is meat. The meat can be from beef, chicken, or fish. Some food manufacturers replace halal meat with non halal meat such as rat, because it is easier to get and

cheaper. The aim to reduce the cost. However, it is unfavorable for consumers and harmful to health because rat can cause some diseases like Salmonellosis, Leptospirosis and Plague (1).

Non halal component in food products can be analyzed with several methods, such as Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry/GCMS (3,4), Fourier Transform Infra Red/FTIR (5,6, 8), Liquid Chromatography/HPLC (9) and Polymerase Chain Reaction/PCR (7). FTIR method is fast and consistent method, even in low analyte concentration (10), non-destructive, sensitive, and does not require complicated sample preparation (11).

However, the FTIR method has limitations, that is it cannot certainly identify the content type of the sample's each fatty acid component (8). Fatty acid analysis can be done by GCMS method. Fatty acid composition of meat can be used for distinguishing rat meat from other meat. The fatty acid composition is determined as methyl ester. The purpose of this research is to identify rat meat in food products by using FTIR and GCMS methods.

Materials and Methods

Lipid Extraction

Black rats were obtained from local farm in Banyumas regency Indonesia. Bovine, pork and chicken meat was obtained from local market in Banyumas Indonesia. Fat was extracted using chloroform: methanol by Bligh & Dyer methods.

GC-MS Analysis

Fat was hydrolyzed with alkaline to produce fatty acid, and followed with methyl esterification. Transesterification performed by the $\text{BF}_3\text{-MeOH}$ method to form fatty acid methyl ester. Approximately 50 μL oil samples were added with 1.0 mL n-hexane and 200 μL 0.2 N NaOCH_3 solutions and heated at 60°C for 10 min. Then, the mixture was added to 1.5 mL $\text{BF}_3\text{-methanol}$ reagent, and heated at 60°C for 10 min. After it was cool, 1 mL of saturated NaCl solution was

added, and shake. The resulting hexane layer was used as a sample solution for GC-MS. Subsequently, 1 μL of the clear supernatant was taken and injected into a GC-MS (Shimadzu QP2010, Shimadzu Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The column used is a SH-Rxi-5Sil MS (5% diphenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane) capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μm film thickness). Helium was used as the carrier gas at flow rates of 1.0 mL/min. The injector temperature was 280°C. The oven temperature was set at 100°C for 5 min, increased to 240°C at a rate of 4°C/min and held at the final temperature for 30 min. The GC-MS operation was controlled by Lab Solution software. MS spectra were obtained in wide range of m/z 10- 500. FAME peaks were identified by comparing their retention time with the FAME standard and similarity index (SI more than 90%).

Fat analysis using FTIR

Fat from each meat was dropped on the ATR crystal, which was placed in a controlled temperature (20°C) as much as 1 drop. Then, the fat was scanned for 32 times at the wave number of 4000- 650 cm^{-1} with a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} and was recorded in the form of absorbance. FTIR spectra were analyzed using chemometrics in the form of PCA using Horizon MB software.

Results and Discussion

Fatty acid composition was identified and measured with gas chromatography with mass spectrometry (GC MS) which present in the lipid extracted from rats and other meat. Saponification with alkaline and followed by BF_3 -catalyzed methylation were used to form fatty acid methyl ester. Peak identification of fatty acids methyl ester in the analyzed samples was conducted by comparing the retention time and molecular mass of mass spectra of standard mass spectra, which were obtained from library (Wiley9.lib) of the GCMS instrument and also confirmed by comparing the mass spectrometric fragmentation pattern with the standard.

Composition of fatty acid can be used to differentiate rat fat from other species. GCMS chromatogram at figure 1 revealed that hexadecanoic acid has the highest level fatty acid from rat fat. The other major constituents are; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid (tr 34.424 mi); 9-hexadecenoic acid (tr 29.594 min); tetradecanoic acid (tr 24.64 min); and 7-hexadecanoic acid (tr 29.140 min).

From table 1 it can be seen that rat has a larger percentage of saturated fatty acids than beef, chicken and pork. The differences in the degree of unsaturated fatty acid in animal fats could be due to the individual fatty acid distribution

pattern (12). The presence of methyl eicosanoic acid is found in lipid extracted from rats, but it is not found in bovine. In contrast, 11- octadecenoic acid (47.30%) exists only in lipid extracted from bovine. Hexadecanoic acid is found to be approximately equal in all analyzed lipid types. The quantity of 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid in bovine (1.57%) is much lower than in rats (16.11 %). The fatty acid composition of lipid extracted from rats were unique compared to bovine, chicken and pork. From the GC-MS analysis, it is found that the major constituents of lipid extracted from rats were fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19). PCA score plot in figure 2 showed that fatty acid composition can distinguish rat fat from other fat. Rat fat is located at different quadrant from other fat.

FTIR analysis was conducted based on the differences between the functional groups of fat from rat, chicken, pork and bovine meat, which were measured at wave number 4000-650 cm^{-1} . Figure 3 shows that the difference of FTIR spectrum between rat and bovine fat is a typical peak at wave number 3010-2950 cm^{-1} . The absorption pattern at wave number 3008.95 cm^{-1} (peak a) for rat fat shows relatively higher peaks compared to bovine fat. The high peak of rat fat absorbance in the area shows the presence of unsaturated fatty acid content which contributes to the high absorbance value, namely the C-H stretching vibration area of the cis double bond.

Fingerprint area (1500-700 cm^{-1}) that is at wavenumber of 1118.71 cm^{-1} (i) shows the typical spectrum of rat fat that is the C-O stretching vibration area. The third point of difference is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum.

Figure 4 showed that PCA can be accomplished to classify between rat, pork, chicken and bovine fat. Rat fat stand far from others fat, chicken and pork stand at same quadrant which it showed they have similarity.

Conclusion

This study investigated application of GC-MS and FTIR to identify rat meat based on fat and fatty acid profile. The high constituents of lipid extracted from rats are 9-Octadecenoic acid; hexadecanoic acid; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid; octadecanoic acid; 9-hexadecenoic acid; tetradecanoic acid; and 7 hexadecanoic acid. The major constituents are fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19) and unsaturated fatty acid higher than saturated fatty acid. GCMS method can be used to authenticate rat meat with fatty acids content.

Application of multivariate statistical analysis such as PCA would be required to determine source of the origin. Hence, this study showed that fatty acid data allowed separation rat fat from other animal fats.

The difference of rat and beef fat is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum. FTIR spectroscopy at wavenumber region $1250\text{-}1100\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $3010\text{-}2850\text{ cm}^{-1}$ combined with chemometrics techniques can be used to determine rat meat.

Acknowledgements

The technical assistance by technical staff, Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Widyasari I Y, Sudjadi and Rohman A 2015 Detection of Rat Meat Adulteration in Meat Ball Formulations Employing Real Time PCR *Asian Journal of Animal Sciences* **9** 6 460-465
2. Rahmania H 2014 *Analisis Daging Tikus dalam Bakso Sapi Menggunakan Metode Spektroskopi Inframerah yang Dikombinasikan dengan Kemometrika (Skripsi)* Yogyakarta: Universitas Gadjah Mada
3. Nurjuliana M, Che Man Y B, Mat Hashim and Mohamed A K S 2011 Rapid identification of pork for halal authentication using the electronic nose and gas chromatography mass spectrometer with headspace analyzer, *Meat Sci.* **88** 638–644
4. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S., 2018, Identification of Dog for Halal Authentication with Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) and Chemometrics, *Advanced Science Letter*, **24** (1), 138-141.
5. Guntarti A, Martono S, Yuswanto A and Rohman A 2015 FTIR Spectroscopy in Combination with Chemometrics for Analysis of Wild Boar Meat in Meatball Formulation, *Asian Journal of Biochemistry*, **10** 4 165-172.
6. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S., 2018, The potential use of infrared spectroscopy and multivariate analysis for differentiation of beef meatball from dog meat for Halal authentication analysis, *Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research*, **5** (3), 307-314
7. Fibriana F, Widiarti T, Retnoningsih A and Susanti 2012 Deteksi Daging Babi Pada Produk Bakso di Pusat Kota Salatiga Menggunakan Teknik Polymerase Chain Reaction, *Biosaintifika*, **4** 2
8. Che Man Y B, Rohman A and Mansor T S T 2011 Differentiation of lard from edible oils by means of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and chemometrics, *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, **74** 187-192
9. Ahda, M., 2016. Application of HPLC (High Pressure Liquid Chromatography) for Analysis of Lard in the Meatball Product Combined with PCA (Principal Component Analysis). *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res.* **9**, 120.
10. Hermanto, S., Muawanah, A., Harahap, R. 2008. Profil dan Karakteristik Lemak Hewani (Ayam, Sapi dan Babi) Hasil Analisa FTIR dan GCMS (Profile and Characteristics of Animal Fat (Chicken, Cow and Pork) FTIR and GCMS Analysis Results). *Valensi*, **1**, 3, p. 102-109.
11. Rohman, A., Che Man, Y. B. 2011. The Use of Fourier Transform Mid Infrared (FT-MIR) Spectroscopy For Detection and Quantification of Adulteration in Virgin Coconut Oil. *Food Chemistry*, **129** (2), p. 583-588
12. Nizar N.N.A., Marikkar J.N.M., Hashim D.M., 2013, Differentiation of Lard, Chicken Fat, Beef Fat and Mutton Fat by GCMS and EA IRMS Techniques, *J.Oleo.Sci.*, **62** (7), 459-464.




Figure 1. Rat's fat GC chromatogram

Table 1. Fatty Acid Compositions of Lipid Extracted from Bovine, Rat, Chicken and Pork Obtained By GC-MS Method

Fatty Acid	Fatty Acid Percentage (%)			
	Rat	Bovine	Chicken	Pork
Dodecanoic Acid	0	0	0.07	0.2
pentadecanoic acid	0.2	0.61	0.06	0.09
tetradecanoic acid	1.79	3.18	0.56	1.82
9-octadecenoic acid)	0	24.52	46.57	37.62
cis-9-tetradecenoic acid	0.05	1.32	0	0
7-hexadecanoic acid	1.31	0.1	0.28	0.42
hexadecanoic acid	25.09	23.75	24.62	24.19
9-hexedecenoic acid	1.79	4.64	3.7	2.27
octadecanoic acid	0.32	12.75	7.74	13.15
heptadecanoic acid	0.29	1.17	0.1	0.44
cis-10-heptadecenoic acid	0.1	1.02	0.04	0.25
9,12-octadecadienoic	16.11	1.57	15.59	17.36
5,8,11,14-eicostate	0	0	0	0.22
6,9,12-octadecadienoic	0	0	0	0.13
eicosenoic acid	0.15	0.07	0.06	0.18
methyl eicosanoic acid	0.56	0	0	0
10-nonadecenoic acid	0	0.24	0	0
11,13-eicosadienoic	0.06	0	0	0.45
11-octadecenoic acid	0	47.43	0	0




Figure 2. PCA Score Plot from rat, chicken, pork and bovine fat based on fatty acid composition




Figure 3. FTIR spectrum rat and bovin fat




Figure 4. PCA Score Plot From Rat, Chicken, Pork And Bovine Fat at wavenumber 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1}

COPYRIGHT AGREEMENT

Please complete and sign the form and send it with the final version of your manuscript. It is required to obtain written confirmation from authors in order to acquire copyrights for papers published in the book chapter so as to index them to various repositories.

Title of paper:

AN ANALYSIS OF RAT MEAT WITH FTIR AND GCMS FOR HALAL AUTHENTICATION

Author(s):

Wiranti Sri Rahayu, Pri Iswati Utami, Rati Janah, Irfan Nugraha

The undersigned hereby transfer any and all rights in and to the paper including without limitation all copyrights to the Book Chapter. The undersigned hereby represents and warrants that the paper is original and that he/she is the author of the paper, except for material that is clearly identified as to its original source, with permission notices from the copyright owners where required. The undersigned represents that he/she has the power and authority to make and execute this assignment.

This agreement is to be signed by at least one of the authors who have obtained the assent of the co-author(s) where applicable.



22nd May 2020

Author's Signature & Date

Wiranti Sri Rahayu

Typed or Printed Name

Faculty of Pharmacy Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto

Institution or Company

SUBMISSION DECLARATION FORM

PROPOSED TITLE OF MANUSCRIPT :

**AN ANALYSIS OF RAT MEAT WITH FTIR AND GCMS FOR HALAL
AUTHENTICATION**

AUTHORS : Wiranti Sri Rahayu, Pri Iswati Utami, Rati Janah, Irfan Nugraha

1. I confirm that I have read, understand and agreed to the submission guidelines, policies and submission declaration of the journal.
2. I confirm that all authors of the manuscript have no conflict of interests to declare.
3. I confirm that the manuscript is the authors' original work and the manuscript has not received prior publication and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere.
4. On behalf of all Co-Authors, I shall bear full responsibility for the submission.
5. I confirm that all authors listed on the title page have contributed significantly to the work, have read the manuscript, attest to the validity and legitimacy of the data and its interpretation, and agree to its submission.
6. I confirm that the paper now submitted is not copied or plagiarized version of some other published work.
7. I declare that I shall not submit the paper for publication in any other Journal or Magazine till the decision is made by journal editors.



Dr Wiranti Sri Rahayu M.Si., Apt

Date: 22nd Mei 2020

Corresponding Author
(Signed on behalf of all authors)

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier
Transform Infra Red and Gas
Chromatography Massa Spectrometry for
Halal Authentication

By Wiranti Sri Rahayu

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier Transform Infra Red and Gas Chromatography Massa Spectrometry for Halal Authentication

Wiranti Sri Rahayu¹, Pri Iswati Utami¹, Irfan Nugraha¹, Rati Janah¹

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Jl. Raya KH Ahmad Dahlan, PO. Box 202 Purwokerto 53182, Central Java, Indonesia.

*Corresponding Author : wirantisriahayu@ump.ac.id or wirantisriahayu@gmail.com

Abstract

Fourier Transform Infra Red (FTIR) and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrophotometry (GCMS) method were developed to determine rat fat which is extracted from rat meat. Fat was extracted with methanol and chloroform as a solvent. Derivatization process was conducted to convert fat into a methyl ester form using sodium methoxide and boron trifluoride. GCMS analysis was used to identify the fatty acid composition from rat fat. The FTIR spectral bands correlated with bovine, pork, chicken and rat fat were scanned, interpreted, and identified. Qualitative differences between FTIR spectra were proposed as a basis tools for differentiating between rat fat and other fat. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) at combining wavenumber regions of 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1} was capable of distinguishing rat meat from other meat. GCMS chromatogram showed the fatty acid composition in rat meat with five major compounds were hexadecenoic acid, 9,12-octadecadienoic acid, 9-hexadecenoic acid, tetradecanoic acid and 7-hexadecanoic acid. PCA based on fatty acid composition can distinguish rat meat from other meat.

Keywords: Rat meat, FTIR, GCMS

Introduction

A muslim is majority population in Indonesia whose must consume halal food products. Thus, it is important to ensure the halals of food products. Adulteration non halal meat in food product become increase and some food products are found to have been adulterated with non halal ingredient, such as rat meat in meatball (1,2).

Meatballs are a popular food in Indonesia where the main component is meat. The meat can be from beef, chicken, or fish. Some food manufacturers replace halal meat with non halal meat such as rat, because it is easier to get and

cheaper. The aim to reduce the cost. However, it is unfavorable for consumers and harmful to health because rat can cause some diseases like Salmonellosis, Leptospirosis and Plague (1).

Non halal component in food products can be analyzed with several methods, such as Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry/GCMS (3,4), Fourier Transform Infra Red/FTIR (5,6, 8), Liquid Chromatography/HPLC (9) and Polymerase Chain Reaction/PCR (7). FTIR method is fast and consistent method, even in low analyte concentration (10), non-destructive, sensitive, and does not require complicated sample preparation (11).

However, the FTIR method has limitations, that is it cannot certainly identify the content type of the sample's each fatty acid component (8). Fatty acid analysis can be done by GCMS method. Fatty acid composition of meat can be used for distinguishing rat meat from other meat. The fatty acid composition is determined as methyl ester. The purpose of this research is to identify rat meat in food products by using FTIR and GCMS methods.

Materials and Methods

Lipid Extraction

Black rats were obtained from local farm in Banyumas regency Indonesia. Bovine, pork and chicken meat was obtained from local market in Banyumas Indonesia. Fat was extracted using chloroform: methanol by Bligh & Dyer methods.

GC-MS Analysis

Fat was hydrolyzed with alkaline to produce fatty acid, and followed with methyl esterification. Transesterification performed by the $\text{BF}_3\text{-MeOH}$ method to form fatty acid methyl ester. Approximately 50 μL oil samples were added with 1.0 mL n-hexane and 20 μL 0.2 N NaOCH_3 solutions and heated at 60°C for 10 min. Then, the mixture was added to 1.5 mL $\text{BF}_3\text{-methanol reagent}$, and heated at 60°C for 10 min. After it was cool, 1 mL of saturated NaCl solution was

added, and shake. The resulting hexane layer was used as a sample solution for GC-MS. Subsequently, 1 μ L of the clear supernatant was taken and injected into a GC-MS (Shimadzu QP2010, Shimadzu Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The column used is a 4-Rxi-5Sil MS (5% diphenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane) capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μ m film thickness). Helium was used as the carrier gas at flow rates 1.0 mL/min. The injector temperature was 280°C. The oven temperature was set at 100°C for 5 min, increased to 240°C at a rate of 4°C/min and held at the final temperature for 30 min. The GC-MS operation was controlled by Lab Solution software. MS spectra were obtained in wide range of m/z 10- 500. FAME peaks were identified by comparing their retention time with the FAME standard and similarity index (SI more than 90%).

Fat analysis using FTIR

Fat from each meat was dropped on the ATR crystal, which was placed in a controlled temperature (20°C) as much as 1 drop. Then, the fat was scanned for 32 times at the wave number of 4000- 650 cm^{-1} with a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} and was recorded in the form of absorbance. FTIR spectra were analyzed using chemometrics in the form of PCA using Horizon MB software.

Results and Discussion

Fatty acid composition was identified and measured with gas chromatography with mass spectrometry (GC MS) which presents in the lipid extracted from rats and other meat. Saponification with alkaline and followed by BF₃-catalyzed methylation were used to form fatty acid methyl ester. Peak identification of fatty acids methyl ester in the analyzed samples was conducted by comparing the retention time and molecular mass of mass spectra of standard mass spectra, which were obtained from library (Wiley9.lib) of the GCMS instrument and also confirmed by comparing the mass spectrometric fragmentation pattern with the standard.

Composition of fatty acid can be used to differentiate rat fat from other species. GCMS chromatogram at figure 1 revealed that hexadecanoic acid has the highest level fatty acid from rat fat. The other major constituents are; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid (tr 34.424 mi); 9-hexadecenoic acid (tr 29.594 min); tetradecanoic acid (tr 24.64 min); and 7-hexadecanoic acid (tr 29.140 min).

From table 1 it can be seen that rat has a larger percentage of saturated fatty acids than beef, chicken and pork. The differences in the degree of unsaturated fatty acid in animal fats could be due to the individual fatty acid distribution

pattern (12). The presence of methyl eicosanoic acid is found in lipid extracted from rats, but it is not found in bovine. In contrast, 11- octadecenoic acid (47.30%) exists only in lipid extracted from bovine. Hexadecanoic acid is found to be approximately equal in all analyzed lipid types. The quantity of 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid in bovine (1.57%) is much lower than in rats (16.11 %). The fatty acid composition of lipid extracted from rats were unique compared to bovine, chicken and pork. From the GC-MS analysis, it is found that the major constituents of lipid extracted from rats were fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19). PCA score plot in figure 2 showed that fatty acid composition can distinguish rat fat from other fat. Rat fat is located at different quadrants from other fat.

FTIR analysis was conducted based on the differences between the functional groups of fat from rat, chicken, pork and bovine meat, which were measured at wave number 4000-650 cm^{-1} . Figure 3 shows that the difference of FTIR spectrum between rat and bovine fat is a typical peak at wave number 3010-2950 cm^{-1} . The absorption pattern at wave number 3008.95 cm^{-1} (peak a) for rat fat shows relatively higher peaks compared to bovine fat. The high peak of rat fat absorbance in the area shows the presence of unsaturated fatty acid content which contributes to the high absorbance value, namely the C-H stretching vibration area of the cis double bond.

Fingerprint area (1500-700 cm^{-1}) that is at wavenumber of 1118.71 cm^{-1} (j) shows the typical spectrum of rat fat that is the C-O stretching vibration area. The third point of difference is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum.

Figure 4 showed that PCA can be accomplished to classify between rat, pork, chicken and bovine fat. Rat fat stands far from others fat, chicken and pork stand at same quadrant which it showed they have similarity.

Conclusion

This study investigated application of GC-MS and FTIR to identify rat meat based on fat and fatty acid profile. The high constituents of lipid extracted from rats are 9-Octadecenoic acid; hexadecanoic acid; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid; octadecanoic acid; 9-hexadecenoic acid; tetradecanoic acid; and 7 hexadecanoic acid. The major constituents are fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19) and unsaturated fatty acid higher than saturated fatty acid. GCMS method can be used to authenticate rat meat with fatty acids content.

Application of multivariate statistical analysis such as PCA would be required to determine source of the origin. Hence, this study showed that fatty acid data allowed separation rat fat from other animal fats.

The difference of rat and beef fat is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum. FTIR spectroscopy at wavenumber region $1250\text{-}1100\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $3010\text{-}2850\text{ cm}^{-1}$ combined with chemometrics techniques can be used to determine rat meat.

Acknowledgements

The technical assistance by technical staff, Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia.

12

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Widayarsi I Y, Sudjadi and Rohman A 2015 Detection of Rat Meat Adulteration in Meat Ball Formulations Employing Real Time PCR *Asian Journal of Animal Sciences* **9** 6 460-465
2. Rahmania H 2014 *Analisis Daging Tikus dalam Bakso Sapi Menggunakan Metode Spektroskopi Inframerah yang Dikombinasikan dengan Kemometrika (Skripsi)* Yogyakarta: Universitas Gadjah **3** ada
3. 11 Juliana M, Che Man Y B, Mat Hashim and Mohamed A K S 2011 Rapid identification of pork for halal authentication using the electronic nose and gas chromatography mass spectrometer with headspace analyzer, *Meat Sci.*, **88** 638–644
4. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S., 2018, Identification of Dog for Halal Authentication with Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) and Chemometrics, *Advanced Science Letter*, **24** (1), 138-141.
5. Guntarti A, Martono S, Yuswanto A and Rohman A 2015 FTIR Spectroscopy in Combination with Chemometrics for Analysis of Wild Boar Meat in Meatball Formulation, *Asian Journal of Biochemistry*, **10** 4 165-172.
6. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S., 2018, The potential use of infrared spectroscopy and multivariate analysis for differentiation of beef meatball from dog meat for Halal authentication analysis, *Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research*, **5** (3), 307-314
7. Fibrina F, Widiarti T, Retnoningsih A and Susanti 2012 Deteksi Daging Babi Pada Produk Bakso di Pusat Kota Salatiga Menggunakan Teknik Polymerase Chain Reaction, *3* *osaintifika*, **4** 2
8. Ch 3 Man Y B, Rohman A and Mansor T S T 2011 Differentiation of lard from edible oils by means of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and chemometrics, *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, **74** 187-192
9. Ahda, M., 2016. Application of HPLC (High Pressure Liquid Chromatography) for Analysis of Lard in the Meatball Product Combined with PCA (Principal Component Analysis). *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res.* **9**, 120.
10. Hermanto, S., Muawanah, A., Harahap, R. 2008. Profil dan Karakteristik Lemak Hewani (Ayam, Sapi dan Babi) Hasil Analisa FTIR dan GCMS (Profile and Characteristics of Animal Fat (Chicken, Cow and Pork) FTIR and GCMS Analysis Results). *Valensi*, **1**, 3, p. 102-109.
11. Rohman, A., Che Man, Y. B. 2011. The Use of Fourier Transform Mid Infrared (FT-MIR) Spectroscopy For Detection and Quantification of Adulteration in Virgin Coconut Oil. *Food Chemistry*, **129** (2), p. 583-588
12. Nizar N.N.A., Marikkar J.N.M., Hashim D.M., 2013, Differentiation of Lard, Chicken Fat, Beef Fat and Mutton Fat by GCMS and EA IRMS Techniques, *J.Oleo.Sci.*, **62** (7), 459-464.




Figure 1. Rat's fat GC chromatogram

Table 1. Fatty Acid Compositions of Lipid Extracted from Bovine, Rat, Chicken and Pork Obtained By GC-MS Method

Fatty Acid	Fatty Acid Percentage (%)			
	Rat	Bovine	Chicken	Pork
Dodecanoic Acid	0	0	0.07	0.2
pentadecanoic acid	0.2	0.61	0.06	0.09
tetradecanoic acid	1.79	3.18	0.56	1.82
9-octadecenoic acid)	0	24.52	46.57	37.62
cis-9-tetradecen-2-ic acid	0.05	1.32	0	0
7-hexadecanoic acid	1.31	0.1	0.28	0.42
hexadecanoic acid	25.09	23.75	24.62	24.19
9-hexedecenoic acid	1.79	4.64	3.7	2.27
octadecanoic acid	0.32	12.75	7.74	13.15
heptadecanoic acid	0.29	1.17	0.1	0.44
cis-10-heptadecenoic acid	0.1	1.02	0.04	0.25
9,12-octadecadienoic	16.11	1.57	15.59	17.36
5,8,11,14-eicostate	0	0	0	0.22
6,9,12-octadecadienoic	0	0	0	0.13
eicosenoic acid	0.15	0.07	0.06	0.18
methyl eicosanoic acid	0.56	0	0	0
10-nonadecenoic acid	0	0.24	0	0
11,13-eicosadienoic	0.06	0	0	0.45
11-octadecenoic acid	0	47.43	0	0




Figure 2. PCA Score Plot from rat, chicken, pork and bovin fat based on fatty acid composition




Figure 3. FTIR spectrum rat and bovin fat



Figure 4. PCA Score Plot From Rat, Chicken, Pork And Bovine Fat at wavenumber 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1}

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier Transform Infra Red and Gas Chromatography Massa Spectrometry for Halal Authentication

ORIGINALITY REPORT

16%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	journal.ugm.ac.id Internet	129 words — 6%
2	china.iopscience.iop.org Internet	75 words — 3%
3	www.tandfonline.com Internet	34 words — 1%
4	www.ajol.info Internet	30 words — 1%
5	fruit.dicp.ac.cn Internet	29 words — 1%
6	docplayer.net Internet	18 words — 1%
7	ump.ac.id Internet	12 words — 1%
8	tel.archives-ouvertes.fr Internet	10 words — < 1%
9	www.jlr.org Internet	10 words — < 1%
10	jmpb.areeo.ac.ir Internet	10 words — < 1%
11	www.mdpi.com Internet	8 words — < 1%
12	www.edp-open.org Internet	8 words — < 1%

Tue, Jul 28,
2020, 8:22 AM

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Gabriel Akyirem Akowuah <agabriel@ucsiuniversity.edu.m

to me

Dear Author,

Please find enclosed the reviewer's comments on your article. Revised your manuscript as per the comments. Please check for any typos and return the revised manuscript with your plagiarism report. Do not forget to visit website of the journal "Current Trend in Biotechnology and Pharmacy" (<http://www.abap.co.in/>) and organize the manuscript including the references according to the style of the Journal. Also submit rebuttal to the authors comments.

Please check your similarity index of your report suggested by the journal "**Current Trend in Biotechnology and Pharmacy**"

Looking forward to receiving your revised and formatted manuscript in next week.

Kind regards,

Gabriel A. Akowuah (Ph.D)

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail

Manuscript Review Reports - 35. IRCPAS-MChem-35 (Wiranti)

Paper Title:	An Analysis of rat meat with FTIR and GCMS for halal authentication
Authors (s) Name:	Wiranti Sri Rahayu, Pri Iswati Utami, Rati Janah, Irfan Nugraha
Paper's Type <i>tick (✓) as appropriate</i>	Research Article ✓
	Review Article

Comments from Reviewer 1

Can proceed for the publication

Comments from Reviewer 2

The Manuscript is well written, The reference formatting needs a revision as per the journal instructions.

Sun, Aug 9, 2020,
10:09 PM

wiranti srirahayu <wirantisrirahayu@gmail.com>

to Gabriel

Dear Assoc. Prof. Dr. Gabriel Akyirem Akowuah

I have already revised my manuscript by following the guidelines. I will send my manuscript along with copyright submission, declaration form and plagiarism checker. I have already try open similarity index suggested by the journal "Current Trend in Biotechnology and Pharmacy" but the link cannot be open.

Thank you,
Sincerely

Wiranti Sri Rahayu



4 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier Transform Infra Red and Gas Chromatography Massa Spectrometry for Halal Authentication

Wiranti Sri Rahayu^{1*}, Pri Iswati Utami¹, Irfan Nugraha¹, Rati Janah¹

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Jl. Raya KH Ahmad Dahlan, PO. Box 202 Purwokerto 53182, Central Java, Indonesia.

*Corresponding Author : wirantisriahayu@ump.ac.id or wirantisriahayu@gmail.com

Abstract

Fourier Transform Infra Red (FTIR) and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrophotometry (GCMS) method were developed to determine rat fat which is extracted from rat meat. Fat was extracted with methanol and chloroform as a solvent. Derivatization process was conducted to convert fat into a methyl ester form using sodium methoxide and boron trifluoride. GCMS analysis was used to identify the fatty acid composition from rat fat. The FTIR spectral bands correlated with bovine, pork, chicken and rat fat were scanned, interpreted, and identified. Qualitative differences between FTIR spectra were proposed as a basis tools for differentiating between rat fat and other fat. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) at combining wavenumber regions of 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1} was capable of distinguishing rat meat from other meat. GCMS chromatogram showed the fatty acid composition in rat meat which five major compounds were hexadecenoic acid, 9,12-octadecadienoic acid, 9-hexadecenoic acid, tetradecanoic acid and 7-hexadecanoic acid. PCA based on fatty acid composition can distinguish rat meat from other meat.

Keywords: Rat meat, FTIR, GCMS

Introduction

A muslim is majority population in Indonesia, whose must consume halal food products. Thus, it is important to ensure the halals of food products. Adulteration non halal meat in food product become increase and some

food products are found to have been adulterated with non halal ingredient, such as rat meat in meatball (1,2).

Meatballs are a popular food in Indonesia where the main component is meat. The meat can be from beef, chicken, or fish. Some food manufacturers replace halal meat with non halal meat such as rat, because it is easier to get and cheaper. The aim to reduce the cost. However, it is unfavorable for consumers and harmful to health because rat can cause some diseases like Salmonellosis, Leptospirosis and Plague (1).

Non halal component in food products can be analyzed with several methods, such as Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry/GCMS (3,4), Fourier Transform Infra Red/FTIR (5,6, 8), Liquid Chromatography/HPLC (9) and Polymerase Chain Reaction/PCR (7). FTIR method is fast and consistent method, even in low analyte concentration (10), non-destructive, sensitive, and does not require complicated sample preparation (11).

However, the FTIR method has limitations, that is it cannot certainly identify the content type of the sample's each fatty acid component (8). Fatty acid analysis can be done by GCMS method. Fatty acid composition of meat can be used for distinguishing rat meat from other meat. The fatty acid composition is determined as methyl ester. The purpose of this research is to identify rat meat in food products by using FTIR and GCMS methods.

Materials and Methods

Lipid Extraction

Black rats were obtained from local farm in

Banyumas regency Indonesia. Bovine, pork and chicken meat was obtained from local market in Banyumas Indonesia. Fat was extracted using chloroform: methanol by Bligh & Dyer methods.

GC-MS Analysis

Fat was hydrolyzed with alkaline to produce fatty acid, and followed with methyl esterification. Transesterification performed by the $\text{BF}_3\text{-MeOH}$ method to form fatty acid methyl ester. Approximately 50 μL oil samples were added with 1.0 mL n-hexane and 200 μL 0.2 N NaOCH_3 solutions and heated at 60°C for 10 min. Then, the mixture was added to 1.5 mL $\text{BF}_3\text{-methanol}$ reagent, and heated at 60°C for 10 min. After it was cool, 1 mL of saturated NaCl solution was added, and shake. The resulting hexane layer was used as a sample solution for GC-MS. Subsequently, 1 μL of the clear supernatant was taken and injected into a GC-MS (Shimadzu QP2010, Shimadzu Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The column used is a SH-Rxi-5Sil MS (5% diphenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane) capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μm film thickness). Helium was used as the carrier gas at flow rates of 1.0 mL/min. The injector temperature was 280°C . The oven temperature was set at 100°C for 5 min, increased to 240°C at a rate of $4^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$ and held at the final temperature for 30 min. The GC-MS operation was controlled by Lab Solution software. MS spectra were obtained in wide range of m/z 10-500. FAME peaks were identified by comparing their retention time with the FAME standard and similarity index (SI more than 90%).

Fat analysis using FTIR

Fat from each meat was dropped on the ATR crystal, which was placed in a controlled temperature (20°C) as much as 1 drop. Then, the fat was scanned for 32 times at the wave number of $4000\text{-}650\text{ cm}^{-1}$ with a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} and was recorded in the form of absorbance. FTIR spectra were analyzed using chemometrics in the form of PCA using Horizon MB software.

Results and Discussion

Fatty acid composition was identified and measured with gas chromatography with mass spectrometry (GC MS) which present in the lipid extracted from rats and other meat. Saponification with alkaline and followed by BF_3 -catalyzed methylation were used to form fatty acid methyl ester. Peak identification of fatty acids methyl ester in the analyzed samples was conducted by comparing the retention time and molecular mass of mass spectra of standard mass spectra, which were obtained from library (Wiley9.lib) of the GCMS instrument and also confirmed by comparing the mass spectrometric fragmentation pattern with the standard.

Composition of fatty acid can be used to differentiate rat fat from other species. GCMS chromatogram at figure 1 revealed that hexadecanoic acid has the highest level fatty acid from rat fat. The other major constituents are; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid (tr 34.424 mi); 9-hexadecenoic acid (tr 29.594 min); tetradecanoic acid (tr 24.64 min); and 7-hexadecanoic acid (tr 29.140 min).

From table 1 it can be seen that rat has a larger percentage of saturated fatty acids than beef, chicken and pork. The differences in the degree of unsaturated fatty acid in animal fats could be due to the individual fatty acid distribution pattern (12). The presence of methyl eicosanoic acid is found in lipid extracted from rats, but it is not found in bovine. In contrast, 11-octadecenoic acid (47.30%) exists only in lipid extracted from bovine. Hexadecanoic acid is found to be approximately equal in all analyzed lipid types. The quantity of 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid in bovine (1.57%) is much lower than in rats (16.11 %). The fatty acid composition of lipid extracted from rats were unique compared to bovine, chicken and pork. From the GC-MS analysis, it is found that the major constituents of lipid extracted from rats were fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19). PCA score plot in figure 2 showed that fatty acid composition can distinguish rat fat from other fat. Rat fat is located at different quadran from other fat.

FTIR analysis was conducted based on the differences between the functional groups of fat from rat, chicken, pork and bovine meat, which were measured at wave number $4000\text{-}650\text{ cm}^{-1}$. Figure 3 shows that the difference of FTIR spectrum between rat and bovine fat is a typical peak at wave number $3010\text{-}2950\text{ cm}^{-1}$. The absorption pattern at wave number 3008.95 cm^{-1} (peak a) for rat fat shows relatively higher peaks compared to bovine fat. The high peak of rat fat absorbance in the area shows the presence of unsaturated fatty acid content which contributes to the high absorbance value, namely the C-H stretching vibration area of the cis double bond.

Fingerprint area ($1500\text{-}700\text{ cm}^{-1}$) that is at wavenumber of 1118.71 cm^{-1} (i) shows the typical spectrum of rat fat that is the C-O stretching vibration area. The third point of difference is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum.

Figure 4 showed that PCA can be accomplished to classify between rat, pork, chicken and bovine fat. Rat fat stand far from others fat, chicken and pork stand at same quadrant which it showed they have similarity.

Conclusion

This study investigated application of GC-MS and FTIR to identify rat meat based on fat and fatty acid profile. The high constituents of lipid extracted from rats are 9-Octadecenoic acid; hexadecanoic acid; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid; octadecanoic acid; 9-hexadecenoic acid; tetradecanoic acid; and 7 hexadecanoic acid. The major constituents are fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19) and unsaturated fatty acid higher than saturated fatty acid. GCMS method can be used to authenticate rat meat with fatty acids content.

Application of multivariate statistical analysis such as PCA would be required to determine source of the origin. Hence, this study showed that fatty acid data allowed separation rat fat from other animal fats.

The difference of rat and beef fat is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum. FTIR spectroscopy at wavenumber region $1250\text{-}1100\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $3010\text{-}2850\text{ cm}^{-1}$ combined with chemometrics techniques can be used to determine rat meat.

Acknowledgements

The technical assistance by technical staff, Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Widayarsi I Y, Sudjadi and Rohman A. (2015). Detection of Rat Meat Adulteration in Meat Ball Formulations Employing Real Time PCR. *Asian Journal of Animal Sciences*, **9** (6): 460-465
2. Rahmania H. (2014). *Analisis Daging Tikus dalam Bakso Sapi Menggunakan Metode Spektroskopi Inframerah yang Dikombinasikan dengan Kemometrika (Skripsi)* Yogyakarta: Universitas Gadjah Mada
3. Nurjuliana M, Che Man Y B, Mat Hashim and Mohamed A. K. S. (2011). Rapid identification of pork for halal authentication using the electronic nose and gas chromatography mass spectrometer with headspace analyzer, *Meat Sci.*, **88**: 638–644
4. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S. (2018). Identification of Dog for Halal Authentication with Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) and Chemometrics, *Advanced Science Letter*, **24** (1): 138-141.
5. Guntarti A, Martono S, Yuswanto A. and Rohman A. (2015). FTIR Spectroscopy in Combination with Chemometrics for Analysis of Wild Boar Meat in Meatball Formulation, *Asian Journal of Biochemistry*, **10** (4): 165-172.
6. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S., (2018), The potential use of infrared spectroscopy and multivariate analysis for differentiation of beef meatball from dog meat for Halal authentication analysis, *Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research*, **5** (3): 307-314
7. Fibriana F, Widiyanti T., Retnoningsih A. and Susanti (2012). Deteksi Daging Babi Pada Produk Bakso di Pusat Kota Salatiga Menggunakan Teknik Polymerase Chain Reaction, *Biosaintifika*, **4** (2): 106-112
8. Che Man Y B, Rohman A and Mansor T. S. T. (2011). Differentiation of lard from edible oils by means of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and chemometrics, *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, **74**: 187-192
9. Ahda, M. (2016). Application of HPLC (High Pressure Liquid Chromatography) for Analysis of Lard in the Meatball Product Combined with PCA (Principal Component Analysis). *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res.* **9** (6):120-123
10. Hermanto, S., Muawanah, A., Harahap, R. (2008). Profil dan Karakteristik Lemak Hewani (Ayam, Sapi dan Babi) Hasil Analisa FTIR dan GCMS (Profile and Characteristics of Animal Fat (Chicken, Cow and Pork) FTIR and GCMS Analysis Results). *Valensi*, **1**, (3): 102-109.
11. Rohman, A., Che Man, Y. B. (2011). The Use of Fourier Transform Mid Infrared (FT-MIR) Spectroscopy For Detection and Quantification of Adulteration in Virgin Coconut Oil. *Food Chemistry*, **129** (2): 583-588
12. Nizar N.N.A., Marikkar J.N.M., Hashim D.M., (2013), Differentiation of Lard, Chicken Fat, Beef Fat and Mutton Fat by GCMS and EA IRMS Techniques, *J.Oleo.Sci.*, **62** (7): 459-464.




Figure 1. Rat's fat GC chromatogram

Table 1. Fatty Acid Compositions of Lipid Extracted from Bovine, Rat, Chicken and Pork Obtained By GC-MS Method

Fatty Acid	Fatty Acid Percentage (%)			
	Rat	Bovine	Chicken	Pork
Dodecanoic Acid	0	0	0.07	0.2
pentadecanoic acid	0.2	0.61	0.06	0.09
tetradecanoic acid	1.79	3.18	0.56	1.82
9-octadecenoic acid)	0	24.52	46.57	37.62
cis-9-tetradecenoic acid	0.05	1.32	0	0
7-hexadecanoic acid	1.31	0.1	0.28	0.42
hexadecanoic acid	25.09	23.75	24.62	24.19
9-hexedecenoic acid	1.79	4.64	3.7	2.27
octadecanoic acid	0.32	12.75	7.74	13.15
heptadecanoic acid	0.29	1.17	0.1	0.44
cis-10-heptadecenoic acid	0.1	1.02	0.04	0.25
9,12-octadecadienoic	16.11	1.57	15.59	17.36
5,8,11,14-eicostate	0	0	0	0.22
6,9,12-octadecadienoic	0	0	0	0.13
eicosenoic acid	0.15	0.07	0.06	0.18
methyl eicosanoic acid	0.56	0	0	0
10-nonadecenoic acid	0	0.24	0	0
11,13-eicosadienoic	0.06	0	0	0.45
11-octadecenoic acid	0	47.43	0	0




Figure 2. PCA Score Plot from rat, chicken, pork and bovine fat based on fatty acid composition




Figure 3. FTIR spectrum rat and bovin fat




Figure 4. PCA Score Plot From Rat, Chicken, Pork And Bovine Fat at wavenumber 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1}

COPYRIGHT AGREEMENT

Please complete and sign the form and send it with the final version of your manuscript. It is required to obtain written confirmation from authors in order to acquire copyrights for papers published in the book chapter so as to index them to various repositories.

Title of paper:

AN ANALYSIS OF RAT MEAT WITH FTIR AND GCMS FOR HALAL AUTHENTICATION

Author(s):

Wiranti Sri Rahayu, Pri Iswati Utami, Rati Janah, Irfan Nugraha

The undersigned hereby transfer any and all rights in and to the paper including without limitation all copyrights to the Book Chapter. The undersigned hereby represents and warrants that the paper is original and that he/she is the author of the paper, except for material that is clearly identified as to its original source, with permission notices from the copyright owners where required. The undersigned represents that he/she has the power and authority to make and execute this assignment. This agreement is to be signed by at least one of the authors who have obtained the assent of the co-author(s) where applicable.



22nd May 2020

Author's Signature & Date

Wiranti Sri Rahayu

Typed or Printed Name

Faculty of Pharmacy Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto

Institution or Company

SUBMISSION DECLARATION FORM

PROPOSED TITLE OF MANUSCRIPT :

AN ANALYSIS OF RAT MEAT WITH FTIR AND GCMS FOR HALAL AUTHENTICATION

AUTHORS : Wiranti Sri Rahayu, Pri Iswati Utami, Rati Janah, Irfan Nugraha

1. I confirm that I have read, understand and agreed to the submission guidelines, policies and submission declaration of the journal.
2. I confirm that all authors of the manuscript have no conflict of interests to declare.
3. I confirm that the manuscript is the authors' original work and the manuscript has not received prior publication and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere.
4. On behalf of all Co-Authors, I shall bear full responsibility for the submission.
5. I confirm that all authors listed on the title page have contributed significantly to the work, have read the manuscript, attest to the validity and legitimacy of the data and its interpretation, and agree to its submission.
6. I confirm that the paper now submitted is not copied or plagiarized version of some other published work.
7. I declare that I shall not submit the paper for publication in any other Journal or Magazine till the decision is made by journal editors.



Dr Wiranti Sri Rahayu M.Si., Apt
Corresponding Author
(Signed on behalf of all authors)

Date: 22 nd Mei 2020

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier Transform Infra Red and Gas Chromatography Massa Spectrometry for Halal Authentication

By Wiranti Sri Rahayu

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier Transform Infra Red and Gas Chromatography Massa Spectrometry for Halal Authentication

Wiranti Sri Rahayu¹, Pri Iswati Utami¹, Irfan Nugraha¹, Rati Janah¹

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Jl. Raya KH Ahmad Dahlan, PO. Box 202 Purwokerto 53182, Central Java, Indonesia.

*Corresponding Author : wirantisriahayu@ump.ac.id or wirantisriahayu@gmail.com

Abstract

Fourier Transform Infra Red (FTIR) and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrophotometry (GCMS) method were developed to determine rat fat which is extracted from rat meat. Fat was extracted with methanol and chloroform as a solvent. Derivatization process was conducted to convert fat into a methyl ester form using sodium methoxide and boron trifluoride. GCMS analysis was used to identify the fatty acid composition from rat fat. The FTIR spectral bands correlated with bovine, pork, chicken and rat fat were scanned, interpreted, and identified. Qualitative differences between FTIR spectra were proposed as a basis tools for differentiating between rat fat and other fat. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) at combining wavenumber regions of 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1} was capable of distinguishing rat meat from other meat. GCMS chromatogram showed the fatty acid composition in rat meat with five major compounds were hexadecenoic acid, 9,12-octadecadienoic acid, 9-hexedecenoic acid, tetradecanoic acid and 7-hexadecanoic acid. PCA based on fatty acid composition can distinguish rat meat from other meat.

Keywords: Rat meat, FTIR, GCMS

Introduction

A muslim is majority population in Indonesia whose must consume halal food products. Thus, it is important to ensure the halals of food products. Adulteration non halal meat in food product become increase and some food products are found to have been adulterated with non halal ingredient, such as rat meat in meatball (1,2).

Meatballs are a popular food in Indonesia where the main component is meat. The meat can be from beef, chicken, or fish. Some food manufacturers replace halal meat with non halal meat such as rat, because it is easier to get and

cheaper. The aim to reduce the cost. However, it is unfavorable for consumers and harmful to health because rat can cause some diseases like Salmonellosis, Leptospirosis and Plague (1).

Non halal component in food products can be analyzed with several methods, such as Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry/GCMS (3,4), Fourier Transform Infra Red/FTIR (5,6, 8), Liquid Chromatography/HPLC (9) and Polymerase Chain Reaction/PCR (7). FTIR method is fast and consistent method, even in low analyte concentration (10), non-destructive, sensitive, and does not require complicated sample preparation (11).

However, the FTIR method has limitations, that is it cannot certainly identify the content type of the sample's each fatty acid component (8). Fatty acid analysis can be done by GCMS method. Fatty acid composition of meat can be used for distinguishing rat meat from other meat. The fatty acid composition is determined as methyl ester. The purpose of this research is to identify rat meat in food products by using FTIR and GCMS methods.

Materials and Methods

Lipid Extraction

Black rats were obtained from local farm in Banyumas regency Indonesia. Bovine, pork and chicken meat was obtained from local market in Banyumas Indonesia. Fat was extracted using chloroform: methanol by Bligh & Dyer methods.

GC-MS Analysis

Fat was hydrolyzed with alkaline to produce fatty acid, and followed with methyl esterification. Transesterification performed by the $\text{BF}_3\text{-MeOH}$ method to form fatty acid methyl ester. Approximately 50 μL oil samples were added with 1.0 mL n-hexane and 20 μL 0.2 N NaOCH_3 solutions and heated at 60°C for 10 min. Then, the mixture was added to 1.5 mL $\text{BF}_3\text{-methanol}$ reagent, and heated at 60°C for 10 min. After it was cool, 1 mL of saturated NaCl solution was

added, and shake. The resulting hexane layer was used as a sample solution for GC-MS. Subsequently, 1 μ L of the clear supernatant was taken and injected into a GC-MS (Shimadzu QP2010, Shimadzu Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The column used is a 4-Rxi-5Sil MS (5% diphenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane) capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μ m film thickness). Helium was used as the carrier gas at flow rates 5 1.0 mL/min. The injector temperature was 280°C. The oven temperature was set at 100°C for 5 min, increased to 240°C at a rate of 4°C/min and held at the final temperature for 30 min. The GC-MS operation was controlled by Lab Solution software. MS spectra were 9 obtained in wide range of m/z 10- 500. FAME peaks were identified by comparing their retention time with the FAME standard and similarity index (SI more than 90%).

1 Fat analysis using FTIR

Fat from each meat was dropped on the ATR crystal, which was placed in a controlled temperature (20°C) as much as 1 drop. Then, the fat 1 was scanned for 32 times at the wave number of 4000- 650 cm^{-1} with a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} and was recorded in the form of absorbance. FTIR spectra were analyzed using chemometrics in the form of PCA using Horizon MB software.

8 Results and Discussion

Fatty acid composition was identified and measured with gas chromatography with mass spectrometry (GC MS) which pres 2 in the lipid extracted from rats and other meat. Saponification with alkaline and followed by BF_3 -catalyzed methylation were used to form fatty acid methyl ester. Peak identification of fatty acids methyl ester in the analyzed samples was conducted by comparing the retention time and molecular mass of mass spectra of standard mass spectra, which were obtained from library (Wiley9.lib) of the GCMS instrument and also confirmed by comparing the mass spectrometric fragmentation pattern with the standard.

Composition of fatty acid can be used to differentiate rat fat from other species. GCMS chromatogram at figure 1 revealed that hexadecanoic acid has the highest level fatty acid from rat fat. The other major constituents are; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid (tr 34.424 mi); 9-hexadecenoic acid (tr 29.594 min); tetradecanoic acid (tr 24.64 min); and 7-hexadecanoic acid (tr 29.140 min).

From table 1 it can be seen that rat has a larger percentage of saturated fatty acids than beef, chicken and pork. The differences in the degree of unsaturated fatty acid in animal fats could be due to the individual fatty acid distribution

pattern (12). The presence of methyl eicosanoic acid is found in lipid extracted from rats, but it is not found in bovine. In contrast, 11- octadecenoic acid (47.30%) exists only in lipid extracted from bovine. Hexadecanoic acid is found to be approximately equal in all analyzed lipid types. The quantity of 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid in bovine (1.57%) is much lower than in rats (16.11 %). The fatty acid composition of lipid extracted from rats were unique compared to bovine, chicken and pork. From the GC-MS analysis, it is found that 2 the major constituents of lipid extracted from rats were fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19). PCA score plot in figure 2 showed that fatty acid composition can distinguish rat fat from other fat. Rat fat is located at different quadrants from other fat. 1

FTIR analysis was conducted based on the differences between the functional groups of fat from 1, chicken, pork and bovine meat, which were measured at wave number 4000-650 cm^{-1} . Figure 3 shows that the difference of FTIR spectrum between rat and bovine fat is a typical peak at wave number 3010-2950 cm^{-1} . The absorption pattern at wave number 3008.95 cm^{-1} (peak a) for rat fat shows relatively higher peaks compared to bovine fat. The high peak of rat fat absorbance in the area shows the presence of unsaturated fatty acid content which contributes to the high absorbance value, namely the C-H stretching vibration area of the cis double bond.

Fingerprint area (1500-700 cm^{-1}) that is at wavenumber of 1118.71 cm^{-1} (i) shows the typical spectrum of rat fat that is the C-O stretching vibration area. The third point of difference is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum.

Figure 4 showed that PCA can be accomplished to classify between rat, pork, chicken and bovine fat. Rat fat stand far from others fat, chicken and pork stand at same quadrant which it showed they have similarity.

Conclusion

This study investigated application of GC-MS and FTIR to identify rat meat based on fat and fatty acid profile. The hig 2 constituents of lipid extracted from rats are 9-Octadecenoic acid; hexadecanoic acid; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid; octadecanoic acid; 9-hexadecenoic acid; tetradecanoic acid; and 7 hexadecanoic acid. The major constituents are fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19) and unsaturated fatty acid higher than saturated fatty acid. GCMS method can be used to authenticate rat meat with fatty acids content.

Application of multivariate statistical analysis such as PCA would be required to determine source of the origin. Hence, this study showed that fatty acid data allowed separation rat fat from other animal fats.

The difference of rat and beef fat is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum. FTIR spectroscopy at wavenumber region 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1} combined with chemometrics techniques can be used to determine rat meat.

Acknowledgements

The technical assistance by technical staff, Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia.

12

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Widyasari I Y, Sudjadi and Rohman A 2015 Detection of Rat Meat Adulteration in Meat Ball Formulations Employing Real Time PCR *Asian Journal of Animal Sciences* **9** 6 460-465
2. Rahmania H 2014 *Analisis Daging Tikus dalam Bakso Sapi Menggunakan Metode Spektroskopi Inframerah yang Dikombinasikan dengan Kemometrika (Skripsi)* Yogyakarta: Universitas Gadjah Mada
3. Juliana M, Che Man Y B, Mat Hashim and Mohamed A K S 2011 Rapid identification of pork for halal authentication using the electronic nose and gas chromatography mass spectrometer with headspace analyzer, *Meat Sci.*, **88** 638–644
4. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S., 2018, Identification of Dog for Halal Authentication with Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) and Chemometrics, *Advanced Science Letter*, **24** (1), 138-141.
5. Guntarti A, Martono S, Yuswanto A and Rohman A 2015 FTIR Spectroscopy in Combination with Chemometrics for Analysis of Wild Boar Meat in Meatball Formulation, *Asian Journal of Biochemistry*, **10** 4 165-172.
6. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S., 2018, The potential use of infrared spectroscopy and multivariate analysis for differentiation of beef meatball from dog meat for Halal authentication analysis, *Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research*, **5** (3), 307-314
7. Fibriana F, Widiarti T, Retnoningsih A and Susanti 2012 Deteksi Daging Babi Pada Produk Bakso di Pusat Kota Salatiga Menggunakan Teknik Polymerase Chain Reaction, *Josaintifika*, **4** 2
8. Che Man Y B, Rohman A and Mansor T S T 2011 Differentiation of lard from edible oils by means of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and chemometrics, *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, **74** 187-192
9. Ahda, M., 2016. Application of HPLC (High Pressure Liquid Chromatography) for Analysis of Lard in the Meatball Product Combined with PCA (Principal Component Analysis). *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res.* **9**, 120.
10. Hermanto, S., Muawanah, A., Harahap, R. 2008. Profil dan Karakteristik Lemak Hewani (Ayam, Sapi dan Babi) Hasil Analisa FTIR dan GCMS (Profile and Characteristics of Animal Fat (Chicken, Cow and Pork) FTIR and GCMS Analysis Results). *Valensi*, **1**, 3, p. 102-109.
11. Rohman, A., Che Man, Y. B. 2011. The Use of Fourier Transform Mid Infrared (FT-MIR) Spectroscopy For Detection and Quantification of Adulteration in Virgin Coconut Oil. *Food Chemistry*, **129** (2), p. 583-588
12. Nizar N.N.A., Marikkar J.N.M., Hashim D.M., 2013, Differentiation of Lard, Chicken Fat, Beef Fat and Mutton Fat by GCMS and EA IRMS Techniques, *J.Oleo.Sci.*, **62** (7), 459-464.




Figure 1. Rat's fat GC chromatogram

Table 1. Fatty Acid Compositions of Lipid Extracted from Bovine, Rat, Chicken and Pork Obtained By GC-MS Method

Fatty Acid	Fatty Acid Percentage (%)			
	Rat	Bovine	Chicken	Pork
Dodecanoic Acid	0	0	0.07	0.2
pentadecanoic acid	0.2	0.61	0.06	0.09
tetradecanoic acid	1.79	3.18	0.56	1.82
9-octadecenoic acid)	0	24.52	46.57	37.62
cis-9-tetradecen(2) acid	0.05	1.32	0	0
7-hexadecanoic acid	1.31	0.1	0.28	0.42
hexadecanoic acid	25.09	23.75	24.62	24.19
9-hexedecenoic acid	1.79	4.64	3.7	2.27
octadecanoic acid	0.32	12.75	7.74	13.15
heptadecanoic acid	0.29	1.17	0.1	0.44
cis-10-heptadecenoic acid	0.1	1.02	0.04	0.25
9,12-octadecadienoic	16.11	1.57	15.59	17.36
5,8,11,14-eicostate	0	0	0	0.22
6,9,12-octadecadienoic	0	0	0	0.13
eicosenoic acid	0.15	0.07	0.06	0.18
methyl eicosanoic acid	0.56	0	0	0
10-nonadecenoic acid	0	0.24	0	0
11,13-eicosadienoic	0.06	0	0	0.45
11-octadecenoic acid	0	47.43	0	0




Figure 2. PCA Score Plot from rat, chicken, pork and bovine fat based on fatty acid composition




Figure 3. FTIR spectrum rat and bovin fat




Figure 4. PCA Score Plot From Rat, Chicken, Pork And Bovine Fat at wavenumber 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1}

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier Transform Infra Red and Gas Chromatography Massa Spectrometry for Halal Authentication

ORIGINALITY REPORT

16%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	journal.ugm.ac.id Internet	129 words — 6%
2	china.iopscience.iop.org Internet	75 words — 3%
3	www.tandfonline.com Internet	34 words — 1%
4	www.ajol.info Internet	30 words — 1%
5	fruit.dicp.ac.cn Internet	29 words — 1%
6	docplayer.net Internet	18 words — 1%
7	ump.ac.id Internet	12 words — 1%
8	tel.archives-ouvertes.fr Internet	10 words — < 1%
9	www.jlr.org Internet	10 words — < 1%
10	jmpb.areeo.ac.ir Internet	10 words — < 1%

Aug 13,
2020,
3:28 PM

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Gabriel Akyirem Akowuah <agabriel@ucsiuniversity.edu.my>

to me

Dear Author,

This is to inform you that your manuscript must be prepared in the prescribed **FORMAT and STYLE** of the journal “**Current Trend in Biotechnology and Pharmacy**”.

I attached herewith the prescribed format of the Journal. Kindly revised the manuscript as per the details in the attached file. Each table should be embedded in the text. However, each figure should be prepared as a separate file. Any deviation will lead to the rejection of your paper. Submit the revised manuscript by 17th August 2020.

Kind regards,

Gabriel A. Akowuah

The manuscripts should be concise, typed in **double space** in a general format containing a

Single Word file contains	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● title page with a short running title* and the names and addresses of the authors for correspondence (all these are in first page) followed by ● Abstract (350 words), 3 to 5 key words (second page), ● start fresh page: Introduction, Materials and Methods (including tables), Results and Discussion (including tables), Conclusion, Acknowledgements (including funding), Conflict of Interest, References and after that figure captions (eg. Fig. 4. HPLC chromatogram of (A) at 254 nm contains PHF, MF and standard piperine and (B) at 366 nm contains PHF, MF and Standard piperine). Here no need to insert figure
Individual Images	<p>figures and graphs should be in separate file with the file name Fig.1., Fig. 2., etc. without figure caption.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● suppose if you have 3 figures, then send Fig.1. separate image, Fig. 2. Separate image, Fig. 3. Separate image, meaning 3 attachments <p>The figures and graphs are ...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● If your electronic artwork is created in a Microsoft Office application (Word, PowerPoint, Excel) then please supply 'as is' in the native document format. ● Regardless of the application used other than Microsoft Office, when your electronic artwork is finalized, please 'Save as' or convert the images to one of the following formats (note the resolution requirements for line drawings, halftones, and line/halftone combinations given below): ● TIFF (or JPEG): Color or grayscale photographs (halftones), keep to a minimum of 300 dpi. ● Supply each figure in a separate file. <p>Please do not:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Supply files that are optimized for screen use (e.g., GIF, BMP, PICT, WPG); these typically have a low number of pixels and limited set of colors; ● Supply files that are too low in resolution; ● Submit graphics that are disproportionately large for the content. ● Embed in the text file.

(*short running title should be below the authors and affiliations)

- For quoting references in the text one has to follow the numbering of references in parentheses and full references with appropriate numbers at the end of the text in the same order. References have to be cited in the format below.
 - Mahavadi, S., Rao, R.S.S.K. and Murthy, K.S. (2007). Cross-regulation of VAPC2 receptor internalization by m2 receptors via c-Src-mediated phosphorylation of GRK2. *Regulatory Peptides*, 139: 109-114.
 - Lehninger, A.L., Nelson, D.L. and Cox, M.M. (2004). *Lehninger Principles of Biochemistry*, (4th edition), W.H. Freeman & Co., New York, USA, pp. 73-111.

Title page

Title is bold centered

All author names are bold and centered with author numbers basing on affiliations

Corresponding author should starred

Prevalence of hepatitis B virus genotypes and sub-genotypes in north and east regions of India: D sequencing methodology

Jagdish C. Kandpal^{1*}, Rishendra Kumar¹, Kailash Chandra², Santosh Pandey
Tara S. Bisht¹

¹ Department of Biotechnology, Kumaun University Nainital, Uttarakhand, India,

² Department of Biochemistry, HIMSR, JamiaHamdard, Delhi, India,

³ Department of Molecular Biology, CORE Diagnostics, Gurugram, Haryana, India

Corresponding author : jagdish.kandpal@yahoo.com

Abstract and Keywords should be bold and small letters

Abstract

Eco-friendly biopolymers, polyhydroxybutyrate, have been produced by many kinds of bacteria that possess most important applications in food packaging industries and also in medical field. In the present work, PHB production was economized through the statistical optimization of physical variables. The physical variables chosen for the enhanced production of PHB were incubation period, temperature, pH and inoculum size. The relative rate of production has been studied by understanding the complex interactions of variables using face-centred central composite design. The submerged fermentation with *Acinetobacter nosocomialis* RR20 produced PHB yield of 5.88 g/L at optimized conditions with a notable value change of sixfold increase in comparison with minimal salt medium and these findings have showed that the designed medium was significant in terms of higher of PHB production.

Keywords Polyhydroxybutyrate · *Acinetobacter nosocomialis* RR20 strain · Response surface methodology · Shake flask culture

MAIN HEADINGS are capital and bold

And

subheadings are small and bold

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Microorganism : *Acinetobacter nosocomialis* RR20 used for the study was isolated from municipal sewage waste, Guntur, Andhrapradesh, India. Partial 16s RNA sequence of *Acinetobacter nosocomialis* RR20 was submitted to NCBI and provided with GenBank accession number KY913802. RR20 Strain was preserved as glycerol stock in our laboratory for future studies.

Shake flask fermentation : The liquid fermentation was conducted batch wise in 250 ml conical flask using 100 ml of mineral salt medium and is sterilized at 121°C for 15 min. Conical flasks were inoculated with 3% inoculums, incubated at 37 °C on rotary shaker driven at 150 rpm. The growth condition levels of incubation time, temperature, pH and inoculums size used in the optimization study, by an application of response surface methodology are given in Table 1.

Table number (is bold)

Table title (is not bold)

Table 1: Range of variables selected for optimization

S. No.	Physical variable	Code	Range of variables		
			-1(low)	0(middle)	+(high)
1	Incubation period	A	36	48	60
2	Temperature	B	30	35	40
3	pH	C	6	7	8
4	Inoculums size	D	3	4	5

wiranti srirahayu <wirantisrirahayu@gmail.com>

Mon, Aug
17, 2020,
8:52 AM

to Gabriel

Dear Assoc. Prof. Dr. Gabriel Akyirem Akowuah

I have already revised my manuscript by following the guidelines. I will send my manuscript along with fulltext, figure file, copyright submission, declaration form and plagiarism checker.

Thank you,

Sincerely

Wiranti Sri Rahayu




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier Transform Infra Red and Gas Chromatography Massa Spectrometry

for Halal Authentication

Wiranti Sri Rahayu^{1*}, Pri Iswati Utami¹, Irfan Nugraha¹, Rati Janah¹

¹Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author : wirantisriahayu@ump.ac.id or wirantisriahayu@gmail.com

Abstract and Keywords should be bold and small letters

Abstract

Fourier Transform Infra Red (FTIR) and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrophotometry (GCMS) method were developed to determine rat fat which is extracted from rat meat. Fat was extracted with methanol and chloroform as a solvent. Derivatization process was conducted to convert fat into a methyl ester form using sodium methoxide and boron trifluoride. GCMS analysis was used to identify the fatty acid composition from rat fat. The FTIR spectral bands correlated with bovine, pork, chicken and rat fat were scanned, interpreted, and identified. Qualitative differences between FTIR spectra were proposed as a basis tools for differentiating between rat fat and other fat. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) at combining wavenumber regions of 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1} was capable of distinguishing rat meat from other meat. GCMS chromatogram showed the fatty acid composition in rat meat which five major compounds were hexadecenoic acid,

	<p>9,12-octadecadienoic acid, 9-hexadecenoic acid, tetradecanoic acid and 7-hexadecanoic acid. PCA based on fatty acid composition can distinguish rat meat from other meat.</p> <p>Keywords Rat meat · FTIR · GCMS ·</p>
--	--

<p>MAIN HEADINGS are capital and bold</p> <p>And</p> <p>subheadings are small and bold</p>	<p>INTRODUCTION</p> <p>A muslim is majority population in Indonesia, whose must consume halal food products. Thus, it is important to ensure the halals of food products. Adulteration non halal meat in food product become increase and some food products are found to have been adulterated with non halal ingredient, such as rat meat in meatball (1,2).</p> <p>Meatballs are a popular food in Indonesia where the main component is meat. The meat</p>
--	---

can be from beef, chicken, or fish. Some food manufacturers replace halal meat with non halal meat such as rat, because it is easier to get and cheaper. The aim to reduce the cost. However, it is unfavorable for consumers and harmful to health because rat can cause some diseases like Salmonellosis, Leptospirosis and Plague (1).

Non halal component in food products can be analyzed with several methods, such as Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry/GCMS (3,4), Fourier Transform Infra Red/FTIR (5,6,8), Liquid Chromatography/HPLC (9) and Polymerase Chain Reaction/PCR (7). FTIR method

is fast and consistent method, even in low analyte concentration (10), non-destructive, sensitive, and does not require complicated sample preparation (11).

However, the FTIR method has limitations, that is it cannot certainly identify the content type of the sample's each fatty acid component (8). Fatty acid analysis can be done by GCMS method. Fatty acid composition of meat can be used for distinguishing rat meat from other meat. The fatty acid composition is determined as methyl ester. The purpose of this research is to identify rat meat in food products by using FTIR and GCMS methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Lipid Extraction:

Black rats were obtained from local farm in Banyumas regency Indonesia. Bovine, pork and chicken meat was obtained from local market in Banyumas Indonesia. Fat was extracted using chloroform: methanol by Bligh & Dyer methods.

GC-MS Analysis:

Fat was hydrolyzed with alkaline to produce fatty acid, and followed with methyl esterification. Transesterification performed by the $\text{BF}_3\text{-MeOH}$ method to form fatty acid methyl ester. Approximately 50 μL oil samples were added with 1.0 mL n-hexane and 200 μL 0.2 N NaOCH_3 solutions and heated at

	<p>60°C for 10 min. Then, the mixture was added to 1.5 mL BF₃-methanol reagent, and heated at 60°C for 10 min. After it was cool, 1 mL of saturated NaCl solution was added, and shake. The resulting hexane layer was used as a sample solution for GC-MS. Subsequently, 1 µL of the clear supernatant was taken and injected into a GC-MS (Shimadzu QP2010, Shimadzu Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The column used is a SH-Rxi-5Sil MS (5% diphenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane) capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 µm film thickness). Helium was used as the carrier gas at flow rates of 1.0 mL/min. The injector</p>
--	--

temperature was 280°C. The oven temperature was set at 100°C for 5 min, increased to 240°C at a rate of 4°C/min and held at the final temperature for 30 min. The GC-MS operation was controlled by Lab Solution software. MS spectra were obtained in wide range of m/z 10- 500. FAME peaks were identified by comparing their retention time with the FAME standard and similarity index (SI more than 90%).

Fat analysis using FTIR:

Fat from each meat was dropped on the ATR crystal, which was placed in a controlled temperature (20°C) as much as 1 drop. Then, the fat was scanned for 32 times at the wave

number of 4000- 650 cm^{-1} with a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} and was recorded in the form of absorbance. FTIR spectra were analyzed using chemometrics in the form of PCA using Horizon MB software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fatty acid composition was identified and measured with gas chromatography with mass spectrometry (GC MS) which present in the lipid extracted from rats and other meat. Saponification with alkaline and followed by BF_3 -catalyzed methylation were used to form fatty acid methyl ester. Peak identification of fatty acids methyl ester in the analyzed samples

was conducted by comparing the retention time and molecular mass of mass spectra of standard mass spectra, which were obtained from library (Wiley9.lib) of the GCMS instrument and also confirmed by comparing the mass spectrometric fragmentation pattern with the standard.

Composition of fatty acid can be used to differentiate rat fat from other species. GCMS chromatogram at figure 1 revealed that hexadecanoic acid has the highest level fatty acid from rat fat. The other major constituents are; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid (tr 34.424 mi); 9-hexadecenoic acid (tr 29.594 min); tetradecanoic

	acid (tr 24.64 min); and 7-hexadecanoic acid (tr 29.140 min).
--	--

Table 1: Fatty Acid Compositions of Lipid Extracted from Bovine, Rat, Chicken and Pork Obtained By GC-MS Method

Fatty Acid	Fatty Acid Percentage (%)			
	Rat	Bovine	Chicken	Po
Dodecanoic Acid	0	0	0.07	
pentadecanoic acid	0.2	0.61	0.06	
tetradecanoic acid	1.79	3.18	0.56	
9-octadecenoic acid)	0	24.52	46.57	
cis-9-tetradecenoic acid	0.05	1.32	0	
7-hexadecanoic acid	1.31	0.1	0.28	
hexadecanoic acid	25.09	23.75	24.62	
9-hexedecenoic acid	1.79	4.64	3.7	
octadecanoic acid	0.32	12.75	7.74	
heptadecanoic acid	0.29	1.17	0.1	
cis-10-heptadecenoic acid	0.1	1.02	0.04	
9,12-octadecadienoic	16.11	1.57	15.59	
5,8,11,14-eicostate	0	0	0	
6,9,12-octadecadienoic	0	0	0	
eicosenoic acid	0.15	0.07	0.06	
methyl eicosanoic acid	0.56	0	0	
10-nonadecenoic acid	0	0.24	0	
11,13-eicosadienoic	0.06	0	0	
11-octadecenoic acid	0	47.43	0	

**MAIN
HEADING**
S are capital
and bold

And

subheading
s are small
and bold

From table 1 it can be seen that rat has a larger percentage of saturated fatty acids than beef, chicken and pork. The differences in the degree of unsaturated fatty acid in animal fats could be due to the individual fatty acid distribution pattern (12). The presence of methyl eicosanoic acid is found in lipid extracted from rats, but it is not found in bovine. In contrast, 11-octadecenoic acid (47.30%) exists only in lipid

extracted from bovine. Hexadecanoic acid is found to be approximately equal in all analyzed lipid types. The quantity of 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid in bovine (1.57%) is much lower than in rats (16.11 %). The fatty acid composition of lipid extracted from rats were unique compared to bovine, chicken and pork. From the GC-MS analysis, it is found that the major constituents of lipid extracted from rats were fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19). PCA score plot in figure 2 showed that fatty acid composition can distinguish rat fat from other fat. Rat fat is located at different quadrant from other fat.

FTIR analysis was conducted based on the differences between the functional groups of fat from rat, chicken, pork and bovine meat, which were measured at wave number 4000-650 cm^{-1} . Figure 3 shows that the difference of FTIR spectrum between rat and bovine fat is a typical peak at wave number 3010-2950 cm^{-1} . The absorption pattern at wave number 3008.95 cm^{-1} (peak a) for rat fat shows

relatively higher peaks compared to bovine fat. The high peak of rat fat absorbance in the area shows the presence of unsaturated fatty acid content which contributes to the high absorbance value, namely the C-H stretching vibration area of the cis double bond.

Fingerprint area (1500-700 cm^{-1}) that is at wavenumber of 1118.71 cm^{-1} (i) shows the typical spectrum of rat fat that is the C-O stretching vibration area. The third point of difference is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum.

Figure 4 showed that PCA can be accomplished to classify between rat, pork, chicken and bovine fat. Rat fat stand far from others fat, chicken and pork stand at same quadrant which it showed they have similarity.

CONCLUSION

This study investigated application of GC-MS and FTIR to identify rat meat based on fat and fatty acid

profile. The high constituents of lipid extracted from rats are 9-Octadecenoic acid; hexadecanoic acid; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid; octadecanoic acid; 9-hexadecenoic acid; tetradecanoic acid; and 7 hexadecanoic acid. The major constituents are fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19) and unsaturated fatty acid higher than saturated fatty acid. GCMS method can be used to authenticate rat meat with fatty acids content.

Application of multivariate statistical analysis such as PCA would be required to determine source of the origin. Hence, this study showed that fatty acid data allowed separation rat fat from other animal fats.

The difference of rat and beef fat is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum. FTIR spectroscopy at wavenumber region $1250\text{-}1100\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $3010\text{-}2850\text{ cm}^{-1}$ combined with chemometrics techniques can be used to determine rat meat.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The technical assistance by technical staff, Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

13. Widyasari I.Y., Sudjadi and Rohman A. (2015). Detection of Rat Meat Adulteration in Meat Ball Formulations Employing Real Time PCR. *Asian Journal of Animal Sciences*, 9 (6): 460-465.
14. Rahmania H. (2014). Analisis Daging Tikus dalam Bakso Sapi Menggunakan Metode Spektroskopi Inframerah yang Dikombinasikan dengan Kemometrika, Skripsi, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, pp. 1-5.
15. Nurjuliana M., Che Man Y. B., Mat Hashim and Mohamed A. K. S. (2011). Rapid identification of pork for halal authentication using the electronic nose and gas chromatography mass spectrometer with headspace analyzer, *Meat Sci.*, 88: 638–644.
16. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S. (2018). Identification of Dog for Halal Authentication with Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) and Chemometrics, *Advanced Science Letter*, 24 (1): 138-141.
17. Guntarti A, Martono S, Yuswanto A. and Rohman A. (2015). FTIR Spectroscopy in Combination with Chemometrics for Analysis of

	<p>Wild Boar Meat in Meatball Formulation, Asian Journal of Biochemistry, 10 (4): 165-172.</p> <p>18. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S., (2018), The potential use of infrared spectroscopy and multivariate analysis for differentiation of beef meatball from dog meat for Halal authentication analysis, Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research, 5 (3): 307-314.</p> <p>19. Fibriana F, Widianti T., Retnoningsih A. and Susanti (2012). Deteksi Daging Babi Pada Produk Bakso di Pusat Kota Salatiga Menggunakan Teknik Polymerase Chain Reaction, Biosaintifika, 4 (2): 106-112.</p> <p>20. Che Man Y B, Rohman A and Mansor T. S. T. (2011). Differentiation of lard from edible oils by means of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and chemometrics, Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society, 74: 187-192.</p> <p>21. Ahda, M. (2016). Application of HPLC (High Pressure Liquid Chromatography) for Analysis of Lard in the Meatball Product Combined with PCA (Principal Component Analysis). Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res. 9 (6):120-123.</p> <p>22. Hermanto, S., Muawanah, A., Harahap, R. (2008). Profil dan Karakteristik Lemak Hewani (Ayam, Sapi dan Babi) Hasil Analisa FTIR dan GCMS (Profile and Characteristics of Animal Fat (Chicken, Cow and Pork) FTIR and GCMS Analysis Results). Valensi, 1, (3): 102-109.</p> <p>23. Rohman, A., Che Man, Y. B. (2011). The Use of Fourier Transform Mid Infrared (FT-MIR) Spectroscopy For</p>
--	---

Detection and Quantification of Adulteration in Virgin Coconut Oil. Food Chemistry, 129 (2): 583-588.

24. Nizar N.N.A., Marikkar J.N.M., Hashim D.M., (2013), Differentiation of Lard, Chicken Fat, Beef Fat and Mutton Fat by GCMS and EA IRMS Techniques, J.Oleo.Sci., 62 (7): 459-464.

Figure 1. Rat's fat GC chromatogram

Figure 2. PCA Score Plot from rat, chicken, pork and bovine fat based on fatty acid composition

Figure 3. FTIR spectrum rat and bovin fat

Figure 4. PCA Score Plot From Rat, Chicken, Pork And Bovine Fat at wavenumber 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1}

COPYRIGHT AGREEMENT

Please complete and sign the form and send it with the final version of your manuscript. It is required to obtain written confirmation from authors in order to acquire copyrights for papers published in the book chapter so as to index them to various repositories.

Title of paper:

AN ANALYSIS OF RAT MEAT WITH FTIR AND GCMS FOR HALAL AUTHENTICATION

Author(s):

Wiranti Sri Rahayu, Pri Iswati Utami, Rati Janah, Irfan Nugraha

The undersigned hereby transfer any and all rights in and to the paper including without limitation all copyrights to the Book Chapter. The undersigned hereby represents and warrants that the paper is original and that he/she is the author of the paper, except for material that is clearly identified as to its original source, with permission notices from the copyright owners where required. The undersigned represents that he/she has the power and authority to make and execute this assignment. This agreement is to be signed by at least one of the authors who have obtained the assent of the co-author(s) where applicable.



22nd May 2020

Author's Signature & Date

Wiranti Sri Rahayu

Typed or Printed Name

Faculty of Pharmacy Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto

Institution or Company

SUBMISSION DECLARATION FORM

PROPOSED TITLE OF MANUSCRIPT :

AN ANALYSIS OF RAT MEAT WITH FTIR AND GCMS FOR HALAL AUTHENTICATION

AUTHORS : Wiranti Sri Rahayu, Pri Iswati Utami, Rati Janah, Irfan Nugraha

1. I confirm that I have read, understand and agreed to the submission guidelines, policies and submission declaration of the journal.
2. I confirm that all authors of the manuscript have no conflict of interests to declare.
3. I confirm that the manuscript is the authors' original work and the manuscript has not received prior publication and is not under consideration for publication elsewhere.
4. On behalf of all Co-Authors, I shall bear full responsibility for the submission.
5. I confirm that all authors listed on the title page have contributed significantly to the work, have read the manuscript, attest to the validity and legitimacy of the data and its interpretation, and agree to its submission.
6. I confirm that the paper now submitted is not copied or plagiarized version of some other published work.
7. I declare that I shall not submit the paper for publication in any other Journal or Magazine till the decision is made by journal editors.



Dr Wiranti Sri Rahayu M.Si., Apt
Corresponding Author
(Signed on behalf of all authors)

Date: 22 nd Mei 2020

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier Transform Infra Red and Gas

Chromatography Massa Spectrometry for Halal Authentication

By Wiranti Sri Rahayu

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier Transform Infra Red and Gas Chromatography Massa Spectrometry for Halal Authentication

Wiranti Sri Rahayu¹, Pri Iswati Utami¹, Irfan Nugraha¹, Rati Janah¹

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Jl. Raya KH Ahmad Dahlan, PO. Box 202 Purwokerto 53182, Central Java, Indonesia.

*Corresponding Author : wirantisriahayu@ump.ac.id or wirantisriahayu@gmail.com

Abstract

Fourier Transform Infra Red (FTIR) and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrophotometry (GCMS) method were developed to determine rat fat which is extracted from rat meat. Fat was extracted with methanol and chloroform as a solvent. Derivatization process was conducted to convert fat into a methyl ester form using sodium methoxide and boron trifluoride. GCMS analysis was used to identify the fatty acid composition from rat fat. The FTIR spectral bands correlated with bovine, pork, chicken and rat fat were scanned, interpreted, and identified. Qualitative differences between FTIR spectra were proposed as a basis tools for differentiating between rat fat and other fat. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) at combining wavenumber regions of 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1} was capable of distinguishing rat meat from other meat. GCMS chromatogram showed the fatty acid composition in rat meat with five major compounds were hexadecenoic acid, 9,12-octadecadienoic acid, 9-hexedecenoic acid, tetradecanoic acid and 7-hexadecanoic acid. PCA based on fatty acid composition can distinguish rat meat from other meat.

Keywords: Rat meat, FTIR, GCMS

Introduction

A muslim is majority population in Indonesia whose must consume halal food products. Thus, it is important to ensure the halals of food products. Adulteration non halal meat in food product become increase and some food products are found to have been adulterated with non halal ingredient, such as rat meat in meatball (1,2).

Meatballs are a popular food in Indonesia where the main component is meat. The meat can be from beef, chicken, or fish. Some food manufacturers replace halal meat with non halal meat such as rat, because it is easier to get and

cheaper. The aim to reduce the cost. However, it is unfavorable for consumers and harmful to health because rat can cause some diseases like Salmonellosis, Leptospirosis and Plague (1).

Non halal component in food products can be analyzed with several methods, such as Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry/GCMS (3,4), Fourier Transform Infra Red/FTIR (5,6, 8), Liquid Chromatography/HPLC (9) and Polymerase Chain Reaction/PCR (7). FTIR method is fast and consistent method, even in low analyte concentration (10), non-destructive, sensitive, and does not require complicated sample preparation (11).

However, the FTIR method has limitations, that is it cannot certainly identify the content type of the sample's each fatty acid component (8). Fatty acid analysis can be done by GCMS method. Fatty acid composition of meat can be used for distinguishing rat meat from other meat. The fatty acid composition is determined as methyl ester. The purpose of this research is to identify rat meat in food products by using FTIR and GCMS methods.

Materials and Methods

Lipid Extraction

Black rats were obtained from local farm in Banyumas regency Indonesia. Bovine, pork and chicken meat was obtained from local market in Banyumas Indonesia. Fat was extracted using chloroform: methanol by Bligh & Dyer methods.

GC-MS Analysis

Fat was hydrolyzed with alkaline to produce fatty acid, and followed with methyl esterification. Transesterification performed by the $\text{BF}_3\text{-MeOH}$ method to form fatty acid methyl ester. Approximately 50 μL oil samples were added with 1.0 mL n-hexane and 20 μL 0.2 N NaOCH_3 solutions and heated at 60°C for 10 min. Then, the mixture was added to 1.5 mL $\text{BF}_3\text{-methanol}$ reagent, and heated at 60°C for 10 min. After it was cool, 1 mL of saturated NaCl solution was

added, and shake. The resulting hexane layer was used as a sample solution for GC-MS. Subsequently, 1 μ L of the clear supernatant was taken and injected into a GC-MS (Shimadzu QP2010, Shimadzu Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The column used is a 4-Rxi-5Sil MS (5% diphenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane) capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μ m film thickness). Helium was used as the carrier gas at flow rates 5 1.0 mL/min. The injector temperature was 280°C. The oven temperature was set at 100°C for 5 min, increased to 240°C at a rate of 4°C/min and held at the final temperature for 30 min. The GC-MS operation was controlled by Lab Solution software. MS spectra were 9 obtained in wide range of m/z 10- 500. FAME peaks were identified by comparing their retention time with the FAME standard and similarity index (SI more than 90%).

1 Fat analysis using FTIR

Fat from each meat was dropped on the ATR crystal, which was placed in a controlled temperature (20°C) as much as 1 drop. Then, the fat 1 was scanned for 32 times at the wave number of 4000- 650 cm^{-1} with a resolution of 4 cm^{-1} and was recorded in the form of absorbance. FTIR spectra were analyzed using chemometrics in the form of PCA using Horizon MB software.

8 Results and Discussion

Fatty acid composition was identified and measured with gas chromatography with mass spectrometry (GC MS) which pres 2 in the lipid extracted from rats and other meat. Saponification with alkaline and followed by BF_3 -catalyzed methylation were used to form fatty acid methyl ester. Peak identification of fatty acids methyl ester in the analyzed samples was conducted by comparing the retention time and molecular mass of mass spectra of standard mass spectra, which were obtained from library (Wiley9.lib) of the GCMS instrument and also confirmed by comparing the mass spectrometric fragmentation pattern with the standard.

Composition of fatty acid can be used to differentiate rat fat from other species. GCMS chromatogram at figure 1 revealed that hexadecanoic acid has the highest level fatty acid from rat fat. The other major constituents are; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid (tr 34.424 mi); 9-hexadecenoic acid (tr 29.594 min); tetradecanoic acid (tr 24.64 min); and 7-hexadecanoic acid (tr 29.140 min).

From table 1 it can be seen that rat has a larger percentage of saturated fatty acids than beef, chicken and pork. The differences in the degree of unsaturated fatty acid in animal fats could be due to the individual fatty acid distribution

pattern (12). The presence of methyl eicosanoic acid is found in lipid extracted from rats, but it is not found in bovine. In contrast, 11- octadecenoic acid (47.30%) exists only in lipid extracted from bovine. Hexadecanoic acid is found to be approximately equal in all analyzed lipid types. The quantity of 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid in bovine (1.57%) is much lower than in rats (16.11 %). The fatty acid composition of lipid extracted from rats were unique compared to bovine, chicken and pork. From the GC-MS analysis, it is found that 2 the major constituents of lipid extracted from rats were fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19). PCA score plot in figure 2 showed that fatty acid composition can distinguish rat fat from other fat. Rat fat is located at different quadrants from other fat. 1

FTIR analysis was conducted based on the differences between the functional groups of fat from 1, chicken, pork and bovine meat, which were measured at wave number 4000-650 cm^{-1} . Figure 3 shows that the difference of FTIR spectrum between rat and bovine fat is a typical peak at wave number 3010-2950 cm^{-1} . The absorption pattern at wave number 3008.95 cm^{-1} (peak a) for rat fat shows relatively higher peaks compared to bovine fat. The high peak of rat fat absorbance in the area shows the presence of unsaturated fatty acid content which contributes to the high absorbance value, namely the C-H stretching vibration area of the cis double bond.

Fingerprint area (1500-700 cm^{-1}) that is at wavenumber of 1118.71 cm^{-1} (i) shows the typical spectrum of rat fat that is the C-O stretching vibration area. The third point of difference is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum.

Figure 4 showed that PCA can be accomplished to classify between rat, pork, chicken and bovine fat. Rat fat stand far from others fat, chicken and pork stand at same quadrant which it showed they have similarity.

Conclusion

This study investigated application of GC-MS and FTIR to identify rat meat based on fat and fatty acid profile. The hig 2 constituents of lipid extracted from rats are 9-Octadecenoic acid; hexadecanoic acid; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid; octadecanoic acid; 9-hexadecenoic acid; tetradecanoic acid; and 7 hexadecanoic acid. The major constituents are fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19) and unsaturated fatty acid higher than saturated fatty acid. GCMS method can be used to authenticate rat meat with fatty acids content.

Application of multivariate statistical analysis such as PCA would be required to determine source of the origin. Hence, this study showed that fatty acid data allowed separation rat fat from other animal fats.

The difference of rat and beef fat is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum. FTIR spectroscopy at wavenumber region 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1} combined with chemometrics techniques can be used to determine rat meat.

Acknowledgements

The technical assistance by technical staff, Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia.

12

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Widyasari I Y, Sudjadi and Rohman A 2015 Detection of Rat Meat Adulteration in Meat Ball Formulations Employing Real Time PCR *Asian Journal of Animal Sciences* **9** 6 460-465
2. Rahmania H 2014 *Analisis Daging Tikus dalam Bakso Sapi Menggunakan Metode Spektroskopi Inframerah yang Dikombinasikan dengan Kemometrika (Skripsi)* Yogyakarta: Universitas Gadjah
3. ada
3. Juliana M, Che Man Y B, Mat Hashim and Mohamed A K S 2011 Rapid identification of pork for halal authentication using the electronic nose and gas chromatography mass spectrometer with headspace analyzer, *Meat Sci.*, **88** 638–644
4. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S., 2018, Identification of Dog for Halal Authentication with Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) and Chemometrics, *Advanced Science Letter*, **24** (1), 138-141.
5. Guntarti A, Martono S, Yuswanto A and Rohman A 2015 FTIR Spectroscopy in Combination with Chemometrics for Analysis of Wild Boar Meat in Meatball Formulation, *Asian Journal of Biochemistry*, **10** 4 165-172.
6. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S., 2018, The potential use of infrared spectroscopy and multivariate analysis for differentiation of beef meatball from dog meat for Halal authentication analysis, *Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research*, **5** (3), 307-314
7. Fibriana F, Widiarti T, Retnoningsih A and Susanti 2012 Deteksi Daging Babi Pada Produk Bakso di Pusat Kota Salatiga Menggunakan Teknik Polymerase Chain Reaction, *Posaintifika*, **4** 2
8. Che Man Y B, Rohman A and Mansor T S T 2011 Differentiation of lard from edible oils by means of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and chemometrics, *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, **74** 187-192
9. Ahda, M., 2016. Application of HPLC (High Pressure Liquid Chromatography) for Analysis of Lard in the Meatball Product Combined with PCA (Principal Component Analysis). *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res.* **9**, 120.
10. Hermanto, S., Muawanah, A., Harahap, R. 2008. Profil dan Karakteristik Lemak Hewani (Ayam, Sapi dan Babi) Hasil Analisa FTIR dan GCMS (Profile and Characteristics of Animal Fat (Chicken, Cow and Pork) FTIR and GCMS Analysis Results). *Valensi*, **1**, 3, p. 102-109.
11. Rohman, A., Che Man, Y. B. 2011. The Use of Fourier Transform Mid Infrared (FT-MIR) Spectroscopy For Detection and Quantification of Adulteration in Virgin Coconut Oil. *Food Chemistry*, **129** (2), p. 583-588
12. Nizar N.N.A., Marikkar J.N.M., Hashim D.M., 2013, Differentiation of Lard, Chicken Fat, Beef Fat and Mutton Fat by GCMS and EA IRMS Techniques, *J.Oleo.Sci.*, **62** (7), 459-464.




Figure 1. Rat's fat GC chromatogram

Table 1. Fatty Acid Compositions of Lipid Extracted from Bovine, Rat, Chicken and Pork Obtained By GC-MS Method

Fatty Acid	Fatty Acid Percentage (%)			
	Rat	Bovine	Chicken	Pork
Dodecanoic Acid	0	0	0.07	0.2
pentadecanoic acid	0.2	0.61	0.06	0.09
tetradecanoic acid	1.79	3.18	0.56	1.82
9-octadecenoic acid)	0	24.52	46.57	37.62
cis-9-tetradecen(2) acid	0.05	1.32	0	0
7-hexadecanoic acid	1.31	0.1	0.28	0.42
hexadecanoic acid	25.09	23.75	24.62	24.19
9-hexedecenoic acid	1.79	4.64	3.7	2.27
octadecanoic acid	0.32	12.75	7.74	13.15
heptadecanoic acid	0.29	1.17	0.1	0.44
cis-10-heptadecenoic acid	0.1	1.02	0.04	0.25
9,12-octadecadienoic	16.11	1.57	15.59	17.36
5,8,11,14-eicostate	0	0	0	0.22
6,9,12-octadecadienoic	0	0	0	0.13
eicosenoic acid	0.15	0.07	0.06	0.18
methyl eicosanoic acid	0.56	0	0	0
10-nonadecenoic acid	0	0.24	0	0
11,13-eicosadienoic	0.06	0	0	0.45
11-octadecenoic acid	0	47.43	0	0




Figure 2. PCA Score Plot from rat, chicken, pork and bovine fat based on fatty acid composition




Figure 3. FTIR spectrum rat and bovin fat




Figure 4. PCA Score Plot From Rat, Chicken, Pork And Bovine Fat at wavenumber 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1}

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier Transform Infra Red and Gas Chromatography Massa Spectrometry for Halal Authentication

ORIGINALITY REPORT

16%

SIMILARITY INDEX

PRIMARY SOURCES

1	journal.ugm.ac.id Internet	129 words — 6%
2	china.iopscience.iop.org Internet	75 words — 3%
3	www.tandfonline.com Internet	34 words — 1%
4	www.ajol.info Internet	30 words — 1%
5	fruit.dicp.ac.cn Internet	29 words — 1%
6	docplayer.net Internet	18 words — 1%
7	ump.ac.id Internet	12 words — 1%
8	tel.archives-ouvertes.fr Internet	10 words — < 1%
9	www.jlr.org Internet	10 words — < 1%
10	jmpb.areeo.ac.ir Internet	10 words — < 1%

Wiranti srirahayu <wirantisrirahayu@gmail.com>

Tue, Aug
18, 2020,
9:51 AM

to Gabriel

Dear Assoc. Prof. Dr. Gabriel Akyirem Akowuah
I have already send my figure file.

Thank you,
Sincerely

Wiranti Sri Rahayu

4 Attachments • Scanned by Gmail




Figure 1 edit




Figure 2 -edit




Figure 3-edit




Figure 4-edit

Asst. Prof. Dr. Ashok Kumar Balaraman <AshokKumar@ucsiuniversity.edu.my>

Dec
6,
2020,
10:38
AM

to wirantisirahayu@ump.ac.id, me

Dear Author

Attached is the final galley proof. Please revert before Monday 10 am. Its urgent

Thank you very much.

With kind regards,

Ashok Kumar Balaraman
B.Pharm, MPharm, PhD, MBA
Assistant Professor cum Head of Praxis,
Industry and Community Management,
Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences,
UCSI University Kuala Lumpur

Office Phone : +60391018880 (Extn 2248)

Hand Mobile : +601116273789

Email: ashokkumar@ucsiuniversity.edu.my

www.ucsiuniversity.edu.my

3 Attachments • Scanned by Gma

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier Transform Infra Red and Gas Chromatography Massa Spectrometry for Halal Authentication

Wiranti Sri Rahayu^{1*}, Pri Iswati Utami¹, Irfan Nugraha¹, Rati Janah¹

¹Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia

*Corresponding author : wirantisriahayu@ump.ac.id or wirantisriahayu@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Fourier Transform Infra Red (FTIR) and Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrophotometry (GCMS) method were developed to determine rat fat which is extracted from rat meat. Fat was extracted with methanol and chloroform as a solvent. Derivatization process was conducted to convert fat into a methyl ester form using sodium methoxide and boron trifluoride. GCMS analysis was used to identify the fatty acid composition from rat fat. The FTIR spectral bands correlated with bovine, pork, chicken and rat fat were scanned, interpreted, and identified. Qualitative differences between FTIR spectra were proposed as a basis tools for differentiating between rat fat and other fat. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) at combining wavenumber regions of 1250-1100 cm⁻¹ and 3010-2850 cm⁻¹ was capable of distinguishing rat meat from other meat. GCMS chromatogram showed the fatty acid composition in rat meat which five major compounds were hexadecenoic acid, 9,12-octadecadienoic acid, 9-hexadecenoic acid, tetradecanoic acid and 7-hexadecanoic acid. PCA based on fatty acid composition can distinguish rat meat from other meat.

Key words : Rat meat · FTIR · GCMS ·

INTRODUCTION

A muslim is majority population in Indonesia, whose must consume halal food products. Thus, it is important to ensure the halals of food products. Adulteration non halal meat in food product become increase and some food products are found to have been adulterated with non halal ingredient, such as rat meat in meatball (1,2).

Meatballs are a popular food in Indonesia where the main component is meat. The meat can be from beef, chicken, or fish. Some food manufacturers replace halal meat with non halal meat such as rat, because it is easier to get and cheaper. The aim to reduce the cost. However, it is unfavorable for consumers and harmful to health because rat can cause some diseases like Salmonellosis, Leptospirosis and Plague (1).

Non halal component in food products can be analyzed with several methods, such as Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry/GCMS (3,4), Fourier Transform Infra Red/FTIR (5,6,8), Liquid Chromatography/HPLC (9) and Polymerase Chain Reaction/PCR (7). FTIR method is fast and consistent method, even in low analyte concentration (10), non-destructive, sensitive, and does not require complicated sample preparation (11).

However, the FTIR method has limitations, that is it cannot certainly identify the content type of the sample's each fatty acid component (8). Fatty acid analysis can be done by GCMS method. Fatty acid composition of meat can be used for distinguishing rat meat from other meat. The fatty acid composition is determined as methyl ester. The purpose of this research is to identify rat meat in food products by using FTIR and GCMS methods.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Lipid Extraction:

Black rats were obtained from local farm in Banyumas regency Indonesia. Bovine, pork and chicken meat was obtained from local market in Banyumas Indonesia. Fat was extracted using chloroform: methanol by Bligh & Dyer methods.

GC-MS Analysis:

Fat was hydrolyzed with alkaline to produce fatty acid, and followed with methyl esterification. Transesterification performed by the BF₃-MeOH method to form fatty acid methyl ester. Approximately 50 µL oil samples were added with 1.0 mL n-hexane and 200 µL 0.2 N NaOCH₃ solutions and heated at 60°C for 10 min. Then, the mixture was added to 1.5 mL BF₃-methanol reagent, and heated at 60°C for 10 min. After it was cool, 1 mL of saturated NaCl solution was added, and shake. The resulting hexane layer was used as a sample solution for GC-MS. Subsequently, 1 µL of the clear supernatant was taken and injected into a GC-MS (Shimadzu QP2010, Shimadzu Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The column used is a

SH-Rxi-5Sil MS (5% diphenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane) capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μm film thickness). Helium was used as the carrier gas at flow rates of 1.0 mL/min. The injector temperature was 280°C. The oven temperature was set at 100°C for 5 min, increased to 240°C at a rate of 4°C/min and held at the final temperature for 30 min. The GC-MS operation was controlled by Lab Solution software. MS spectra were obtained in wide range of m/z 10- 500. FAME peaks were identified by comparing their retention time with the FAME standard and similarity index (SI more than 90%).

Fat analysis using FTIR:

Fat from each meat was dropped on the ATR crystal, which was placed in a controlled temperature (20°C) as much as 1 drop. Then, the fat was scanned for 32 times at the wave number of 4000- 650 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ and was recorded in the form of absorbance. FTIR spectra were analyzed using chemometrics in the form of PCA using Horizon MB software.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fatty acid composition was identified and measured with gas chromatography with mass spectrometry (GC

MS) which present in the lipid extracted from rats and other meat. Saponification with alkaline and followed by BF₃-catalyzed methylation were used to form fatty acid methyl ester. Peak identification of fatty acids methyl ester in the analyzed samples was conducted by comparing the retention time and molecular mass of mass spectra of standard mass spectra, which were obtained from library (Wiley9.lib) of the GCMS instrument and also confirmed by comparing the mass spectrometric fragmentation pattern with the standard.

Composition of fatty acid can be used to differentiate rat fat from other species. GCMS chromatogram at figure 1 revealed that hexadecanoic acid has the highest level fatty acid from rat fat. The other major constituents are; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid (tr 34.424 mi); 9-hexadecenoic




Figure 1. Rat's fat GC chromatogram

Table 1: Fatty Acid Compositions of Lipid Extracted from Bovine, Rat, Chicken and Pork Obtained By GC-MS Method

Fatty Acid	Fatty Acid Percentage (%)			
	Rat	Bovine	Chicken	Pork
Dodecanoic Acid	0	0	0.07	0.2
pentadecanoic acid	0.2	0.61	0.06	0.09
tetradecanoic acid	1.79	3.18	0.56	1.82
9-octadecenoic acid)	0	24.52	46.57	37.62
cis-9-tetradecenoic acid	0.05	1.32	0	0
7-hexadecanoic acid	1.31	0.1	0.28	0.42
hexadecanoic acid	25.09	23.75	24.62	24.19
9-hexedecenoic acid	1.79	4.64	3.7	2.27
octadecanoic acid	0.32	12.75	7.74	13.15
heptadecanoic acid	0.29	1.17	0.1	0.44
cis-10-heptadecenoic acid	0.1	1.02	0.04	0.25
9,12-octadecadienoic	16.11	1.57	15.59	17.36
5,8,11,14-eicostate	0	0	0	0.22
6,9,12-octadecadienoic	0	0	0	0.13
eicosenoic acid	0.15	0.07	0.06	0.18
methyl eicosanoic acid	0.56	0	0	0
10-nonadecenoic acid	0	0.24	0	0
11,13-eicosadienoic	0.06	0	0	0.45
11-octadecenoic acid	0	47.43	0	0

acid (tr 29.594 min); tetradecanoic acid (tr 24.64 min); and 7-hexadecanoic acid (tr 29.140 min). From table 1 it can be seen that rat has a larger percentage of saturated fatty acids than beef, chicken and pork. The differences in the degree of unsaturated fatty acid in animal fats could be due to the individual fatty acid distribution pattern (12). The presence of methyleicosanoic acid is found in lipid extracted from rats, but it is not found in bovine. In contrast, 11-octadecenoic acid (47.30%) exists only in lipid extracted from bovine. Hexadecanoic acid is found to be approximately equal in all analyzed lipid types. The quantity of 9,12-Octadecadienoic acid in bovine (1.57%) is much lower than in rats (16.11 %). The fatty acid composition of lipid extracted from rats were unique compared to bovine, chicken and pork. From the GC-MS analysis, it is found that the major constituents of lipid extracted from rats were fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19). PCA score plot in figure 2 showed that fatty acid composition can distinguish rat fat from other fat. Rat fat is located at different quadrants from other fat.




Figure 2. PCA Score Plot from rat, chicken, pork and bovine fat based on fatty acid composition




Figure 3. FTIR spectrum rat and bovine fat

FTIR analysis was conducted based on the differences between the functional groups of fat from rat, chicken, pork and bovine meat, which were measured at wave number 4000-650 cm⁻¹. Figure 3 shows that the difference of FTIR spectrum between rat and bovine fat




Figure 4. PCA Score Plot From Rat, Chicken, Pork And Bovine Fat at wavenumber 1250-1100 cm⁻¹ and 3010-2850 cm⁻¹

is a typical peak at wave number 3010-2950 cm⁻¹. The absorption pattern at wave number 3008.95 cm⁻¹ (peak a) for rat fat shows relatively higher peaks compared to bovine fat. The high peak of rat fat absorbance in the area shows the presence of unsaturated fatty acid content which contributes to the high absorbance value, namely the C-H stretching vibration area of the cis double bond.

Fingerprint area (1500-700 cm⁻¹) that is at wavenumber of 1118.71 cm⁻¹ (i) shows the typical spectrum of rat fat that is the C-O stretching vibration area. The third point of difference is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm⁻¹ (k) and 972.12 cm⁻¹ (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum.

Figure 4 showed that PCA can be accomplished to classify between rat, pork, chicken and bovine fat. Rat fat stand far from others fat, chicken and pork stand at same quadrant which it showed they have similarity.

CONCLUSION

This study investigated application of GC-MS and FTIR to identify rat meat based on fat and fatty acid profile. The high constituents of lipid extracted from rats are 9-Octadecenoic acid; hexadecanoic acid; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid; octadecanoic acid; 9-hexadecenoic acid; tetradecanoic acid; and 7 hexadecanoic acid. The major constituents are fatty acids with chain lengths of 15 to 21 carbon atoms (mainly C17 and C19) and unsaturated fatty acid higher than saturated fatty acid. GCMS method can be used to authenticate rat meat with fatty acids content.

Application of multivariate statistical analysis such as PCA would be required to determine source of the origin. Hence, this study showed that fatty acid data allowed separation rat fat from other animal fats.

The difference of rat and beef fat is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm⁻¹ (k) and 972.12 cm⁻¹ (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum. FTIR spectroscopy at wavenumber region 1250-1100 cm⁻¹ and 3010-2850 cm⁻¹ combined with chemometrics techniques can be used to determine rat meat.

Acknowledgements

The technical assistance by technical staff, Department of Analytical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto, Indonesia.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Widyasari I.Y., Sudjadi and Rohman A.(2015). Detection of Rat Meat Adulteration in Meat Ball Formulations Employing Real Time PCR. *Asian Journal of Animal Sciences*,9(6): 460-465.
2. Rahmania H.(2014). Analisis Daging Tikus dalam Bakso Sapi Menggunakan Metode Spektroskopi Inframerah yang Dikombinasikan dengan Kemometrika, Skripsi, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, pp. 1-5.
3. Nurjuliana M., Che Man Y. B., Mat Hashim and Mohamed A. K. S.(2011). Rapid identification of pork for halal authentication using the electronic nose and gas chromatography mass spectrometer with headspace analyzer, *Meat Sci.*, 88:638-644.
4. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S. (2018). Identification of Dog for Halal Authentication with Gas Chromatography Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) and Chemometrics, *Advanced Science Letter*, 24 (1): 138-141.
5. Guntarti A, Martono S, Yuswanto A. and Rohman A.(2015). FTIR Spectroscopy in Combination with Chemometrics for Analysis of Wild Boar Meat in Meatball Formulation, *Asian Journal of Biochemistry*, 10(4): 165-172.
6. Rahayu W.S., Rohman A., Sudjadi, Martono S., (2018), The potential use of infrared spectroscopy and multivariate analysis for differentiation of beef meatball from dog meat for Halal authentication analysis, *Journal of Advanced Veterinary and Animal Research*, 5 (3): 307-314.
7. Fibriana F, Widiyanti T., Retnoningsih A. and Susanti (2012). Deteksi Daging Babi Pada Produk Bakso di Pusat Kota Salatiga Menggunakan Teknik Polymerase Chain Reaction, *Biosaintifika*, 4(2): 106-112.
8. Che Man Y B, Rohman A and Mansor T. S. T.(2011). Differentiation of lard from edible oils by means of Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and chemometrics, *Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society*, 74:187-192.
9. Ahda, M. (2016). Application of HPLC (High Pressure Liquid Chromatography) for Analysis of Lard in the Meatball Product Combined with PCA (Principal Component Analysis). *Asian J. Pharm. Clin. Res.*9(6):120-123.
10. Hermanto, S., Muawanah, A., Harahap, R. (2008). Profil dan Karakteristik Lemak Hewani (Ayam, Sapi dan Babi) Hasil Analisa FTIR dan GCMS (Profile and Characteristics of Animal Fat (Chicken, Cow and Pork) FTIR and GCMS Analysis Results). *Valensi*, 1, (3): 102-109.
11. Rohman, A., Che Man, Y. B. (2011). The Use of Fourier Transform Mid Infrared (FT-MIR) Spectroscopy For Detection and Quantification of Adulteration in Virgin Coconut Oil. *Food Chemistry*, 129 (2): 583-588.
12. Nizar N.N.A., Marikkar J.N.M., Hashim D.M., (2013), Differentiation of Lard, Chicken Fat, Beef Fat and Mutton Fat by GCMS and EA IRMS Techniques, *J.Oleo.Sci.*, 62 (7): 459-464.

to Ashok

Dear

Asst. Prof. Dr. Ashok Kumar Balaraman

We are glad to get gallery proof of our manuscript. I sent the correction of the gallery proof. If there is anything you need, please you can contact me.

Sincerelly

Dr Wiranti Sri Rahayu M.Si., Apt

Faculty of Pharmacy Universitas Muhammadiyah Purwokerto

One attachment

Current Trends in Biotechnology and Pharmacy

An Analysis Of Rat Meat With Fourier Transform Infra Red and Gas Chromatography Massa Spectrometry for Halal Authentication

Section	Page	Line	Column	Uncorrected Text	Correction
---------	------	------	--------	------------------	------------

	No	No	1/2		
MATERIALS AND METHODS	1	21	2	Fat was extracted using chloroform:methanol by Bligh & Dyer methods.	Fat was extracted using chloroform :methanol by Bligh & Dyer methods
	1	27	2	Transesterification performed by the BF ₃ -MeOH method to form fatty acid methyl ester.	Transesterification performed by the BF ₃ -MeOH method to form fatty acid methyl ester.
	1	30	2	Approximately 50 µL oil samples were added with 1.0 mL n-hexane and 200 µL 0.2 N NaOCH ₃ solutions and heated at 60°C for 10 min.	Approximately 50 µL oil samples were added with 1.0 mL n-hexane and 200 µL 0.2 N NaOCH ₃ solutions and heated at 60°C for 10 min.
	1	31	2	Then, the mixture was added to 1.5 mL BF ₃ -methanol reagent, and heated at 60°C for 10 min.	Then, the mixture was added to 1.5 mL BF ₃ -methanol reagent, and heated at 60°C for 10 min.
	2	1	1	SH-Rxi-5Sil MS (5% diphenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane) capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 µm film thickness)	SH-Rxi-5Sil MS (5% diphenyl/95% dimethyl polysiloxane) capillary column (30 m x 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 µm film thickness)
	2	16-17	1	Then, the fat was scanned for 32 times at the wave number of 4000-650 cm ⁻¹ with a resolution of 4 cm ⁻¹ and was recorded in the form of absorbance.	Then, the fat was scanned for 32 times at the wave number of 4000-650 cm ⁻¹ with a resolution of 4 cm ⁻¹ and was recorded in the form of absorbance.
RESULTS	2	3	2	Saponification	Saponification

AND DISCUSSION				with alkaline and followed by BF ₃ -catalyzed methylation were used to form fatty acid methyl ester.	with alkaline and followed by BF ₃ -catalyzed methylation were used to form fatty acid methyl ester.
	2	15	2	The other major constituents are; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid (tr 34.424 mi); 9-hexadecenoic	The other major constituents are; 9,12-octadecadienoic acid (tr 34.424 min); 9-hexadecenoic
	3	2	1	acid (tr 29.594 min); tetradecanoic acid (tr 24.64 min); and 7-hexadecanoic acid (tr 29.140 min). From table 1 it can be seen that rat has a larger percentage of saturated fatty acids than beef, chicken and pork.	acid (tr 29.594 min); tetradecanoic acid (tr 24.64 min); and 7-hexadecanoic acid (tr 29.140 min). From table 1 it can be seen that rat has a larger percentage of saturated fatty acids than beef, chicken and pork.
	2		1	Figure 2. PCA Score Plot from rat, chicken, pork and bovine fat based on fatty acid composition	Figure 2. PCA Score Plot from rat, chicken, pork and bovine fat based on fatty acid composition
	3	25	1	FTIR analysis was conducted based on the differences between the functional groups of fat from rat, chicken, pork and bovine meat, which were measured at wave number 4000-650 cm ⁻¹	FTIR analysis was conducted based on the differences between the functional groups of fat from rat, chicken, pork and bovine meat, which were measured at wave number 4000-650 cm ⁻¹

	3		2	Figure 4. PCA Score Plot From Rat, Chicken, Pork And Bovine Fat at wavenumber 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010- 2850 cm^{-1}	Figure 4. PCA Score Plot From Rat, Chicken, Pork And Bovine Fat at wavenumber 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010- 2850 cm^{-1}
	3	1-2	2	is a typical peak at wave number 3010-2950 cm^{-1} . The absorption pattern at wave number 3008.95 cm^{-1} (peak a) for rat fat shows relatively higher peaks compared to bovine fat.	is a typical peak at wave number 3010-2950 cm^{-1} . The absorption pattern at wave number 3008.95 cm^{-1} (peak a) for rat fat shows relatively higher peaks compared to bovine fat.
	3	8-9, 12	2	Fingerprint area (1500-700 cm^{-1}) that is at wavenumber of 1118.71 cm^{-1} (i) shows the typical spectrum of rat fat that is the C-O stretching vibration area. The third point of difference is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum.	Fingerprint area (1500-700 cm^{-1}) that is at wavenumber of 1118.71 cm^{-1} (i) shows the typical spectrum of rat fat that is the C-O stretching vibration area. The third point of difference is located in wavenumber 1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum.
CONCLUSION	4	2 and 5	1	The difference of rat and beef fat is located in wavenumber	The difference of rat and beef fat is located in wavenumber

			<p>1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum. FTIR spectroscopy at wavenumber region 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1} combined with chemometrics techniques can be used to determine rat meat.</p>	<p>1026.13 cm^{-1} (k) and 972.12 cm^{-1} (l) in which this area does not show any absorption in the rat fat spectrum. FTIR spectroscopy at wavenumber region 1250-1100 cm^{-1} and 3010-2850 cm^{-1} combined with chemometrics techniques can be used to determine rat meat.</p>
--	--	--	--	--